

Research Article

Non-Nutritional Sodium and Carbapenems Associated Hypernatraemia Significance in Infected Mal-Nourished Patients Who Are On Parenteral Nutrition Support

Salam Ghazi Alqudah; Ph¹, Amani Mahmoud Al-Tarawneh; Ph¹, Ghadeer Majed Al-Jamaain; Ph¹, Ala' Abduljaleel Khawaj; Ph¹, "Moh'd Nour" Mahmoud Bani Younes Ph¹, Jaafar Abd Alrahman Abu Abeeleh; Ph¹, Ala'a Omar Suleiman; PharmD¹

¹Clinical Pharmacy Department, King Hussein Medical Hospital, Royal Medical Services, Amman, Jordan

Article History

Received: 15.04.2020

Accepted: 25.04.2020

Published: 27.04.2020

Journal homepage:
<https://www.easpublisher.com/easjacc>
Quick Response Code

Abstract: Objectives: Non-nutritional sources of sodium are commonly encountered in hospitalized patients who are used carbapenems to treat non-carbapenemase producing multi-drug resistant gram-negative bacteria. Meropenem and Imipenem/Cilastatin are the most two widely used carbapenems in most medical centers including our institution. The non-nutritional sources of sodium from both tested carbapenems may have a detrimental effect on critically ill patient's organ functions and may be an independent risk factor for mortality. The primary objective of our study is to investigate the significance difference of non-nutritional associated hypernatremia (NNAH) risk between Meropenem (Group I) versus Imipenem/Cilastatin (Group II). **Methods:** Our study was retrospectively carried out for critically ill patients admitted to King Hussein Medical Hospital (KMH) wards between April 2017 and Dec 2019. Patients were excluded if they discharged or died before completed 2 days of the tested ABs during their admission. Collected continuous data were analyzed using Independent T-Test while Chi Square Test were used for dichotomous data analysis and to determine the NNAH risk in both tested groups. Corrected sodium level (cNa^+) level of 145 mEq/l will be considered the cutoff point for hypernatremia. **Results:** The mean overall age was 59.62 ± 1.59 years, and 57 subjects (71.25%) were male. The overall risk of NNAH was 5.1% (4 patients). Critically ill patients who were on Meropenem had the highest risk of NNAH (7.9%, 3 patients) contrast on Imipenem /Cilastatin (2.4%, 1 patient). **Conclusion:** Our results demonstrate that empirical or targeted use of β -lactam Carbapenems either Meropenem or Imipenem/Cilastatin is an independent risk of NNAH especially in case of high dose Meropenem.

Keywords: Carbapenems; Non-Nutritional Associated Hypernatremia; Infected Mal-Nourished patients; Parenteral Nutrition Support

Copyright @ 2020: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use (Noncommercial, or CC-BY-NC) provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

In hospitalized patients, hypernatremia is less common and less studied than hyponatremia also its very dangerous and poor prognosis, the development of it about (1% - 2%).^[1-3] One study showed that 2.6% observed hypernatremia during hospitalized and 61% from observed cases were acquired.^[4] In contrast, hypernatremia is more common in critically ill patients with a prevalence of (2% to 6%) upon admission and (4% to 10%) for surgical ICU patients or (6% to 26%) for medical ICU patients attributed to the administration of hypertonic solutions, resuscitation crystalloids, irrigation solutions, enteral and parenteral feeding,

maintenance crystalloids fluids, and administration of sodium-rich antibiotics.^[5-8] Meropenem and Imipenem/Cilastatin are formulated with sodium carbonate to control the pH of solution in which the sodium load per gram is 3.92 mEq/g for Meropenem and 3.2 mEq/g for Imipenem/Cilastatin.^[9-10] The consequences of this carbapenems-associated hypernatremia, as a non-nutritional sources of sodium, may have detrimental effects on infected critically ill patient's organ functions and may be an independent risk factor for mortality. The objective of this study is to investigate the clinically significance of carbapenems associated hypernatremia in the two most widely used

*Corresponding Author: "Moh'd Nour" Bani Younes, Clinical Pharmacy Specialist, MSc Clinical Pharmacy, BCPS, BCCCP, BCNSP, BCACP, BCIDP, Chief of EN and TPN Unit, King Hussein Medical Hospital, King Abdullah II St 230, Amman 11733, Jordanian Royal Medical Services

Table-1. Demographics, anthropometrics, and follow-up comparison data of the study's critically ill patients

| Dependent Variable | | Group I N=38 Mean±SD | Group II N=42 Mean±SD | Total N=80 Mean±SD | P-Value |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------|
| Age (Yrs) | | 57.79±1.46 | 61.45±1.73 | 59.62±1.59 | 0.056 (NS) |
| Gender | Male | 27 (71.1%) | 30 (71.4%) | 57 (71.25%) | 0.284 (NS) |
| | Female | 11 (28.9%) | 12 (28.6%) | 23 (28.75%) | |
| BW ₀ (Kg) | | 78.16±1.70 | 74.21±1.44 | 76.19±1.57 | 0.003 (S) |
| BMI ₀ (Kg/m ²) | | 28.04±0.52 | 26.02±0.59 | 27.03±0.55 | 0.000 (S) |
| Na ⁺ ₀ (mEq/l) | | 138.08±0.17 | 137.97±0.12 | 138.03±0.145 | 0.404 (NS) |
| BG ₀ (mg/dl) | | 148.50±1.43 | 176.14±1.29 | 162.32±1.36 | 0.000 (S) |
| c Na ⁺ ₀ (mEq/l) | | 138.85±0.17 | 139.19±0.12 | 139.02±0.145 | 0.090 (NS) |
| WBCs _{avg} (Cells/μl) | | 11152±1851 | 9883±1254 | 10517.5±1552.3 | 0.640 (NS) |
| CrCl _{avg} (ml/min) | | 51.07±7.58 | 55.06±7.52 | 53.065±7.55 | 0.332 (NS) |
| Urine Output _{avg} (ml/d) | | 865.5±73.1 | 884.9±73.2 | 875±73.15 | 0.203(NS) |
| AB Dose _{avg} (mg/d) | | 4158±263 | 1976±117 | 3067±190 | 0.000 (S) |
| AB Duration _{avg} (Days) | | 7.55±0.58 | 7.26±0.49 | 7.40±0.535 | 0.732 (NS) |
| AB Na ⁺ Input _{avg} (mEq/d) | | 16.29±1.03 | 6.32±0.37 | 11.31±0.7 | 0.000 (S) |
| MF vol _{avg} (ml/d) | | 2904±8 | 2899±6 | 2901±7 | 0.405 (NS) |
| MF Na ⁺ Input _{avg} (mEq/d) | | 223.59±0.66 | 223.19±0.47 | 228.39±0.89 | 0.406 (NS) |
| ENF Vol _{avg} (ml/d) | | 407.6±15.5 | 403.1±15.1 | 405.2±15.3 | 0.171 (NS) |
| ENF Na ⁺ Input _{avg} (mEq/d) | | 14.88±0.57 | 14.71±0.55 | 14.79±0.56 | 0.171 (NS) |
| Na ⁺ _{avg} (mEq/l) | | 142.63±0.17 | 141.23±0.12 | 141.93±0.145 | 0.000 (S) |
| BG _{avg} (mg/dl) | | 162.97±3.25 | 162.43±1.81 | 162.7±2.53 | 0.011 (S) |
| c Na ⁺ _{avg} (mEq/l) | | 143.31±0.17 | 141.89±0.12 | 142.6±0.145 | 0.000 (S) |
| Risk of AAH | Positive (>145 mEq/l) | 3 (7.9%) | 1 (2.4%) | 4 (5.1%) | 0.000 (S) |
| | Negative (≤145 mEq/l) | 35 (92.1%) | 41 (97.6%) | 76 (94.9%) | |
| ALB level _{avg} (g/dl) | | 2.63±0.02 | 2.66±0.02 | 2.645±0.02 | 0.006 (S) |
| H.ALB infused avg (g/d) | | 19.47±0.37 | 19.52±0.33 | 19.5±0.35 | 0.510 (NS) |

Data are analyzed by either Independent T-Test and presented as Mean±SD or Chi Square Test and presented as Number (%) (at p-value< 0.05).

Group I: Critically ill patients who were on Meropenem (Meropenem®).

Group II: Critically ill patients who were on Imipenem/Cilastatin (Tienam®).

S: Significant.

IBW: Ideal body weight.

BMI: Body mass index.

AB: Specified antibiotic.

AAH: Antibiotic associated hypernatremia.

Na⁺: Sodium.

ALB: Albumin.

0: Baseline dependent variable before intervention.

CrCl: Creatinine clearance.

NS: Non-significant.

BW: Body weight.

BG: Blood glucose.

MF: Maintenance fluid.

H.ALB: Human albumin 20% IV.

cNa⁺: Corrected sodium.

Avg: Average during antibiotic administration.

ENF: Enteral nutritional formula (Ensure® in this study).

WBCs: White blood cells.

DISCUSSION

The present study included septic mechanically ventilated critically ill patients who were taking either empirical or targeted Carbapenems ABs for at least 2 days at overall duration of 7.40±0.27 days. Because the major sources of Na⁺ inputs in this study were maintenance fluid (MF), enteral nutritional formula (ENF), human albumin (H.ALB), and broad

spectrum β-lactam ABs and there were insignificant differences between the two groups regarding average MFs, ENFs, and H.ALB, the significant changes in Na⁺ during antibiotics administration were likely from β-lactam ABs. Meropenem (**Group I**) has the highest Na⁺ load than Imipenem/Cilastatin (**Group II**).

In our study we showed that the greatest impact of NNAH was more in Meropenem studied

critically ill patients (Group I) compared with Imipenem/Cilastatin studied critically ill patients (Group II). These results can be explained by the high variability of AB renal adjusted dose inputs, which was highest in Group I (4158 ± 263 mg AB/day) than Group II (1976 ± 117 mg AB/day) and by higher sodium load per gram in Meropenem vs Imipenem/Cilastatin (3.92 mEq/g vs 3.2 mEq/g, respectively). To calculate AB Na^+ input (mEq Na^+ /day), we multiplied AB Na^+ load (mEq Na^+ /g AB) by AB dose input (g AB/day). The AB Na^+ input in our study was significantly higher in Group I (16.29 ± 1.03 mEq Na^+ /day) than Group II (6.32 ± 0.37 mEq Na^+ /day).

CONCLUSION

In summary, our results demonstrate that empirical or targeted use of β -lactam Carbapenems is an independent risk of NNAH especially in case of high dose Meropenem (2 g TID) for treatment carbapenem sensitive multi-drug resistant gram-negative bacteria. This study is limited by its retrospective design, using single-center data, including only ICU patients. Nonetheless, our center is an experienced and high-volume unit, so our data may be useful in other centers. A larger, multisite, and prospective study is needed to control for multiple confounders.

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank my parents, whose love and guidance are with me in whatever I pursue. Most importantly, I wish to thank my loving and supportive wife, and my three wonderful children who provide unending inspiration. Also, I would like to express my gratitude to my PharmD students at The University of Jordan for their supporting in pursuing this mini review.

Conflicts of interest: None declared.

Funding: This work was supported by Aleiman Drug Store Company.

REFERENCES

1. Palevsky, P. M., Bhargath, R., & Greenberg, A. (1996). Hyponatremia in hospitalized patients. *Annals of internal medicine*, 124(2), 197-203.
2. Long, C. A., Marin, P., Bayer, A. J., Shetty, H. G., & Pathy, M. S. (1991). Hyponatremia in an adult in-patient population. *Postgraduate medical journal*, 67(789), 643-645.
3. O'Connor, K. A., Cotter, P. E., Kingston, M., Twomey, C., & O'Mahony, D. (2006). The pattern of plasma sodium abnormalities in an acute elderly care ward: a cross-sectional study. *Irish journal of medical science*, 175(3), 28-31.
4. Lopes, I. F., Dezelée, S., Brault, D., & Steichen, O. (2015). Prevalence, risk factors and prognosis of hyponatremia during hospitalisation in internal medicine. *Neth J Med*, 73(10), 448-454.
5. Lindner, G., Funk, G. C., Schwarz, C., Kneidinger, N., Kaider, A., Schneeweiss, B., ... & Druml, W. (2007). Hyponatremia in the critically ill is an independent risk factor for mortality. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases*, 50(6), 952-957.
6. Aiyagari, V., Deibert, E., & Diringler, M. N. (2006). Hyponatremia in the neurologic intensive care unit: how high is too high?. *Journal of critical care*, 21(2), 163-172.
7. Hoorn, E. J., Betjes, M. G., Weigel, J., & Zietse, R. (2008). Hyponatremia in critically ill patients: too little water and too much salt. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation*, 23(5), 1562-1568.
8. Polderman, K. H., Schreuder, W. O., van Schijndel, R. J. S., & Thijs, L. G. (1999). Hyponatremia in the intensive care unit: an indicator of quality of care?. *Critical care medicine*, 27(6), 1105-1108.
9. product information. merrem (meropenem)." zeneca pharmaceuticals, wilmington, DE.
10. https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&source=web&rc=t=j&url=https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2012/050587s073lbl.pdf&ved=2ahUK_Ewj-7WMnNTnAhVLYYUKHTHCAeAQFjAMegQIBBAB&usg=AOvVaw0IUdIKEUcV_Yk5KrJTtAXI