

Research Article

Evaluation of the Results of Locking Compression Plate for the Treatment of Non-United Humeral Shaft Fracture Augmented with Autogenous Cancellous Bone Graft

Md. Munzur Rahman^{1*}, Md. Moshidur Rahman¹, Mirza Osman Beg²¹Assistant Professor, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Rajshahi Medical College, Rajshahi, Bangladesh²Associate Professor, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, North East Medical College, Sylhet, Bangladesh**Article History**

Received: 26.01.2021

Accepted: 13.02.2021

Published: 19.02.2021

Journal homepage:<https://www.easpublisher.com>**Quick Response Code**

Abstract: A fracture bone usually heals by the formation of new bone at the fracture site. Occasionally, only fibrous tissue is formed, when this happens both surgeon & patient are disappointed. The bone is a specialized form of connective tissue may account for its ability to heal by the formation of new bone. The humerus is a long bone connecting two important joints of upper limb- which has wide range of movement having very little bony stability in shoulder joint and distal elbow joint which is a uni axial hinge joint. This prospective study of "treatment of nonunion of humeral shaft fracture by locking plate and screws augmented with autogenous cancellous bone grafting" was carried out during the period of 1st December 2018 to 31st May 2019 at Rajshahi Medical College Hospital, Rajshahi, Bangladesh. Sample size will be calculated by using following statistics = 384. Purposive sampling (non-randomized) according to availability of the patients and strictly considering the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Data will be collected with a pre-tested structured questionnaire containing history, clinical examination, laboratory investigations, pre-operative, per-operative, postoperative follow up findings and complications. 16 Patients were selected by using non randomized sampling method. The age ranges from 28-60 years. The mean age of occurrence was 38.19 (+10.04) years. Motor vehicle accident was the commonest cause of fracture found in 81.25% cases. Second most common cause was fall from height (12.50%). Right side involvement was more (62.50%). Among the affected people ser\Tce holders and shopkeepers were commonest (25% each), next were businessmen and farmers (18.75% each). The mean union time was 16.38 (+2.78) weeks. Postoperative complications were noticed such as wound infection (6.25%) and shoulder pain (6.25%). There was no complication (81.25%). Functional outcome of this treatment was analyzed by Constant and Murley scoring 1999. Excellent functional outcome was found in 5 (31.25%) cases, good in 9 (56.25%) cases, fair in 1 (6.25%) case and poor in 1 (6.25%) case. Regarding the final outcome satisfactory result was found in 14 (87.50%) cases and unsatisfactory result in 2 (12.50%) cases. Based on the results shown above it is concluded that "treatment of nonunion of humeral shaft fracture by locking plate and screws augmented with autogenous cancellous bone grafting" is an effective modality of treatment for the nonunion of humeral shaft fracture and is especially recommended in osteoporotic bones and elderly patients with compromised bone quality.

Keywords: Upper Limb, Humeral Shaft Fracture, Locking Plate, Range of Movement.

Copyright © 2021 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution **4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0)** which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

A fracture bone usually heals by the formation of new bone at the fracture site. Occasionally, only fibrous tissue is formed, when this happens both surgeon & patient are disappointed. The bone is a specialized form of connective tissue may account for its ability to heal by the formation of new bone [1]. The humerus is a long bone connecting two important joints of upper limb- which has wide range of movement having very little bony stability in shoulder joint and distal elbow joint which is a uni axial hinge joint. It is an unpaired bone, the shaft of which is totally covered

by a thicker layer of soft tissue. Approximately 10% of all long bone fractures occur in the humerus. Fracture of the humeral shaft is commonly encountered by the orthopaedic surgeons, accounting for approximately 30% of all humeral fractures [2]. Both younger and elder people suffer from these fractures. The mechanism of injury is mainly direct trauma, motor vehicle accident, fall from height, direct blow and penetrating injury like bullet or sharp object causing transverse or comminuted fractures. Indirect trauma due to fall on out stretched hand, twisting injuries or even violent muscle contraction results spiral or oblique fracture. Treatment of these injuries continue to evolve

as advances are made in both non-operative & operative management [3, 4]. Most of the humeral shaft fracture heals with close Method without surgical intervention [5], in certain circumstances when this fracture fails to unite in expected period of time (4-6 months after injury) and then it is called delayed union or non-union [6]. The middle third of the bone is the most vulnerable in relation to delayed or non-union. This is because, the main nutrient artery enters the bone very constantly at the function of the middle & lower thirds or in the lower part of the middle third and the foramina of entry are concentrated in a small area of the distal half of the middle third of the shaft on the medial side of the bone [7, 8]. Muller and Thomas [9] stated that operative treatment is usually indicated for non-union, poly trauma patients, bilateral humeral shaft fracture, floating elbow, fractures with neurovascular complications, segmented fractures, radial nerve palsy after manipulation, pathological fracture, failure to obtain or maintain acceptable alignment after close reduction, associated injury or patient conditions precluding close management, failure to conservative treatment [4, 10]. Unfortunately not all the fractures of the humeral shaft in the adults united in a specific time and if not given appropriate treatment the fracture can go on to state of established non-union. When this stage of indolence is reached with sclerosis of the bone ends & mature fibrous tissues laid down between the fragments, treatments become more difficult. It is then necessary not only to refresh the bone surfaces but also immobilize them as rigidly as possible, which cannot be done by simple plaster cast & not even by a shoulder Spica [4]. When fractures of the upper extremity are treated, the social and economic status of the patient must be considered. An operation may be justified in preference to the risks of prolonging convalescence, yet union may be possible without surgery if immobilization is continued for 6 to 8 months after injury [11]. Patient often find the hanging cast uncomfortable, tedious and frustrating; they can feel the fragments moving and that is sometimes quite distressing the temptation is to 'do something' and the something usually means an operation [3]. Operative methods of treatment include open reduction and internal fixation by plate & screws (LCP, DCP), open or close reduction & internal fixation by intramedullary interlocking nail or semiflexible pins and external fixator [12, 3]. Banquet *et al.*, [13] reported successful union in 24 of 25(96%) aseptic nonunion of the humerus. Rosen, 1990 reported 97% healing rate with one surgical procedure in 32 humeral non unions treated with dynamic plate and screws. Two series have reported excellent results for treatment of humeral nonunion with compression plating combined with cancellous bone grafting. A recent trend in internal fixation has been a more towards locking compression plating system. With locking compression plating system the locking screws are locked with plate which stabilizes the screws and gives better rigid fixation. The friction between the plate & bone is less that provide

less disturbance of periosteal blood supply [14]. Several new locked plate devices have been developed because researchers suggest plates with attached (locked) screws may provide improved fracture stability & healing [15]. Locking the screws to the plate mechanically recreates a point of cortical bone contact [16] which may be useful in poor cancellous bone of proximal humerus. Locking compression plates also a have preconfigured shape & screw direction which may reduce hardware complications. Early clinical results using the locking-humerus, plates have been promising [17]. Locking compression plates provide stable fixation of poor quality bone in patients with delayed union or non-union of the humerus; successful union & restoration of function are achieved in most patients [18]. Both experimental & clinical studies with early locking compression plates have shown a lower rate of infection with locking system compared with the standard dynamic compression plate [19]. The existing benefits of the new internal fixator principles are enhanced by the combination in the following respects over other modalities of treatment are- Improvement in angular stability due to locking head screws (even if unicortical), accurate plate contouring is not required, and more options & greater versatility in fracture management especially fracture with limited bone quality are present. However, these new techniques demand very careful pre-operative planning, especially in the sequence of applying different type of-screws since this process requires a clear understanding of the principles governing each technique. The versatility of the system may increase the risk of application error with disturbance to fracture healing [20]. There are some drawbacks to locking compression plates, locking compression plates are more difficult to remove than standard compression plates, cold welding may occur in which the locking screws heads become affixed to the screws hole, & cannot be removed from the plate without great difficult. Although hard ware removal is not routinely done many practitioners recommend placing all locking screws by hand rather than on power to avoid cold wielding [21]. In our hospitals, most of the patient of humeral shaft fractures admitted several weeks after injury after taking some form of conservative treatment but fail to unite, with the complained of abnormal mobility, stiff elbow and shoulder. These patients need stable internal fixation by either DCP or LCP for early mobilization of elbow and shoulder. LCP is the recent modification of DCP which can give more stable fixation especially in osteoporotic bone [19]. The aim of treatment is to give a good functional limb as early as possible with sound bony union to achieve the best result in the humeral shaft fracture in adults and early return to work, much importance to be given to such factors as early accurate diagnosis, rigid internal fixation of the bone with open reduction & providing autogenous cancellous bone grafting & lastly cast immobilization in appropriate position. This study will be included those patients who reported to RMCH, 12-28 weeks old injuries to the arm

with the complain of instability, abnormal mobility in arm, mild to severe pain to the fracture site, stiff shoulder and elbow & impaired function of the limb. The aim of this study will be to evaluate the result of the internal fixation of non-united humeral shaft fractures by locking compression plate & screws with autogenous cancellous bone-grafting.

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

General Objectives

To assess the evaluation of results of locking compression plate for the treatment of non-united humeral shaft fracture augmented with autogenous cancellous bone graft.

Specific Objectives

- To assess fracture union time and rate by follow up-both clinically & radio logically.
- To evaluate post-operative complication.
- To assess functional outcome of shoulder & elbow joints by-modified Constant and Murley score.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Study design: Prospective interventional study (quasi experimental type).

Study period: 01/ 12/2018 to 31/05 /2019 (18 Months).

Place of study: Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Rajshahi Medical College Hospital, Rajshahi, Bangladesh.

Study population: All patients with history, clinical examination and radiological evaluation suggesting non-united fracture of shaft of humerus attended in RMCH for treatment.

Sample size: Sample size will be calculated by using following statistics = 384

Sampling technique: Purposive sampling (non-randomized) according to availability of the patients and strictly considering the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Data collection procedure: Data will be collected with a pre-tested structured questionnaire containing history, clinical examination, laboratory investigations, pre-operative, per-operative, postoperative follow up findings and complications.

Inclusion Criteria

- a) Established non-union of shaft of the humerus.
- b) Age (18 to 60 years)
- c) Sex- Both sexes.

- d) Site- Diaphyseal fractures of humeral shaft between 3 cm distal to surgical neck and 5 cm proximal to the olecranon fossa.
- e) Any side affected.
- f) Failure of conservative treatment.

Exclusion Criteria

- a) Recent fracture.
- b) Infected non-union.
- c) Pathological fracture.
- d) Fracture in children.
- e) Persistence of wound.
- f) Unstable medical illness.

Study Procedure

A questionnaire will be prepared by the researcher considering the key variables like age, sex, presenting symptoms, clinical findings, associated medical conditions, investigations, preoperative findings, outcome of surgery which will be verified by the guide. The data will be collected by the researcher himself. Aims & objectives, procedures risks and benefits of this treatment were explained to the selected patients. The patients will be encouraged for voluntary participation. They will also be assured about the secrecy of information and records. Then written informed consent will be taken from each patient.

Pre-Operative preparation

Patient will be counseled regarding the treatment procedure with emphasis on the available treatment options along with merits and demerits of each. He/she will be informed about the possible post-operative sequele. Informed written consent will be obtained from each case included in the study. All issues regarding the patient's welfare will be approved by the local ethical committee.

- Pre anaesthetic check-up will be done.
- Patient will be asked to abstain from oral feeding from 6 hours before operation.
- Appropriate size of LCP and screws will be selected.

Antibiotics

All patients will be received prophylactic antibiotic, a third generation cephalosporin (ceftriaxone), one gram i.v. and flucloxacillin 500 mg i.v. at the time of induction of anaesthesia. Post operatively parenteral ceftriaxone will be given 12 hourly and flucloxacillin 500 mg 6 hourly for 3 days. After 3 days oral cephalosporin (cefixime 200gm 12 hourly) and flucloxacillin 500 mg will be given for a further weeks or till wound healed.

Positioning of patient

During operation patient will be placed in the supine position and sometimes lateral position after GA. Preparation of the skin: Preparation of the skin will be done by soap washing and using an antiseptic on the

skin, such as povidone iodine solution Draping was done.

Surgical Procedure

With all aseptic precautions open reduction and internal fixation will be achieved with a standard LCP by anterior Henry approach or posterior approach (for distal third).

Follow-Up

At the beginning patients will be followed up at three weeks interval. Thereafter at monthly interval till the fracture union will be achieved. Evaluation of the functional outcome will be achieved at 6 months visit. Six months will be chosen as by that time healing of the fracture would normally have taken place & functional improvement would have reached to a satisfactory level. This protocol will be changed a little in some particular cases due to failure of attending the schedule or other causes. The patients will be also advised to attend the OPD or contact personally if any problem regarding the treatment occurred. Pendulum shoulder exercise will be started after 2 weeks. Long arm back slab will be removed after 3 weeks and were allowed to move the elbow joint.

OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS

This prospective study of treatment of nonunion of humeral shaft fracture by locking plate and screws augmented with autogenous cancellous bone grafting was carried out in 16 patients to find out the common cause of fracture, age and sex incidence and to propose a protocol for treating such cases. Sixteen patients were included in the study group and they were divided into 4-groups. The mean age was 38.19 with a standard deviation mean (\pm SD) 0.04 years. The age ranged from 28 to 60 years and the maximum number was found in the age group of 30 - 39 years. The age distribution is shown in (Table-1).

Table-1: Age distribution of the patients (n=16)

Age in years	Number	Percentage
<30	1	6.25
30-39	8	50.00
40-49	4	25.00
>50	3	18.75
Mean ^SD		38.19 \pm 10.04
Range		(28-60)

Table-2: Occupational distribution of patients (n=16)

Occupation	Number	Percentage
Serviceman	4	25.00
Businessman	3	18.75
Farmer	3	18.75
Shopkeeper	4	25.00
Housewife	2	12.50

Most (25.0%) of the patients were service holder and shopkeeper (25.0%), 18.75% were businessman, 18.75% were farmer and 12.5% were house wife. "he results are shown in (Table-2).

Table-3: Mean duration of injury of the patients (n=16)

Duration of injury (months)	Months
Mean =SD	15.38 \pm 3.91
Range	(9-20)

The following table shows the mean duration of injury of the patients was 15.38 months with a SD of \pm 3.91 months and the minimum injury duration was 9 months and maximum was 20 months.

Table-4: Post-operative hospital stay (n=16)

Hospital stay (days)	Days
Mean+SD	4.81 \pm 1.22
Range	(3-6)

The following table shows the average hospital stay of the patients postoperatively. The mean duration of hospital stay was 4.81 days with a SD of 11 days. The maximum and minimum hospital stay were 6 and 3 days respectively.

Table-5: Time of union by radiological evaluation (n=16)

Radiological evaluation	Time of union	Weeks
McanrSD		16.38 \pm 2.78
Range		(13-24)

Radiologically all cases were found to be united and the mean time of presence of union was 16.38 \pm 2.78 weeks and the maximum and minimum time needed for union were 24 to 13 weeks respectively.

Table-6: Distribution of patients by post-operative complications (n=16)

Post-operative complications	Number	Percentage
Infection	1	6.25
Loosening of the screw	0	0.00
Shoulder pain	1	6.25
No complication	13	81.25

Most (81.25%) of the cases did not have any complication. One patient developed infection and one patient had shoulder pain.

DISCUSSION

When a humerus fracture fails to unite in 3 to 4 months, it is termed as delayed and if union is delayed and arrested beyond 6 to 8 months, it is nonunion Rosen [22]. Nonunion is established when minimum of 9 months has elapsed since injury and the fracture shows

no visible progressive signs of healing for 3 months [23]. Though a number of treatment methods have been documented none of the method seems to be superior to others. Orthopaedic surgeons in several countries contributed to the foundations that led to the concepts, techniques and instruments used today. Various methods of surgical treatment are known, such as, fixation by plate and screws and bone grafts, intramedullary nails, intramedullary interlocking nails with bone grafts, inlay and onlay tibial grafts with bone pegs or bone screws, dual ribial onlay grafts dual fibular onlay grafts, cerclage wire, external fixators, Ilizarov technique. A recent trend in internal fixation has been a move toward locking plating system. Specific advantage of locking plating system includes 1. Stable rigid fixation, 2. Direct reduction, 3. Less periosteal vascular disturbance. Modabber and Jupiter [24] reviewed twenty-one cases of humeral nonunion after the failure of locked humeral nails. The study revealed that open reduction and internal fixation with plating and bone grafting was successful in nine of nine cases and exchange nailing was successful in four of four cases. Ramchander siwach, Roop singh [25] published their studies of treating displaced proximal humeral fracture in elderly patients with osteoporosis by locking plate & screws of 25 patients (12 males & 13 females) with 28% excellent outcome, 64% good functional outcome & 8% had moderate outcome. All fractures united with an; union time of 18 weeks. The humerus is often osteoporotic when nonunion occurs. It becomes difficult to rigid fixation in terms of loosening of screws. There is always tendency to bowing of humerus in its fracture at middle third. Hence there is always a failure of union. By using locking plate & screws in nonunion of humeral shaft osteoporotic bone it gives better rigid fixation & chance of loosening. The treatment of humeral shaft nonunion by locking plate & screws with autogenous cancellous bone grafting has gained acceptance in the recent years. A total number of 16 patients were included in this study. All the patients were fixed with locking plate & screws augmented with autogenous cancellous bone grafting. Follow up time was 6 months & maximum 18 months. In this study, age ranges from 28 to 60 years. The mean age incidence was 38.19 years. The high incidence in young adult age group points to higher rate of mobility as well as social violence in this age group. Male population in this study constitutes 14 cases (87.5%) while the female's population remaining 2 cases (12.5%). Christensen [26] observed a male predominance 19% while Wright, Miller and Vander Griend [27] and Pandey [28] showed males to make up 55.55% and Ring *et al.*, [29] 60%. Male's represent the major working force of our society and are thus more consistently exposed to external environment which probably accounts for this predominance. Motor vehicle accidents were found to be the most common causative factor in this study 81.25%. Christensen [26], Ring *et al.*, [29] observed motor vehicle accidents as the major reason for humeral shaft fractures occupying 50% and 40% respectively [4]. Second common cause

was fall from a height counting 12.50%. In this study right side was affected more (62.5%) than left side (37.5%). Ring *et al.*, [29] found 66.76% of the cases with left humeral fractures in his series. In 4 cases, there were associated injuries, 2 had soft tissue injuries, one had ipsilateral fracture shaft of femur, and one had radial nerve injury. Among the 16 cases, 2 of them were treated by open reduction and internal fixation with DCP, one treated initially with external fixator, the rest of them were treated conservatively with U slab, long arm back slab. Post-operative hospital stay is one of the important parts of this study. In this series minimum 3 days and maximum 6 days. Mean post-operative stay 4.8 (+1.22) days. Longer hospital stay was required for patients having postoperative infection and other complication. Union time of fracture in this series was minimum 13 weeks and maximum 24 weeks. Mean 16.38 (+2.78) weeks. In the study of Robinson *et al.*, [30] men time of union 18 weeks (8-96 weeks) but 7 patients required treatment for delayed union. In the study of Haberneck and Orthner [31], average union time was 2 months. In this series postoperative infection (Superficial wound infection) developed in 1 patient (6.25%) which was controlled by regular dressing and sensitive antibiotic. Shoulder pain in 1 (6.25%) case. In the study of Haberneck and Orthner [31], there was no infection in 19 cases and no rotator cuff lesion, in my study infection rate was 6.25%. In this study 5 cases (31.25%) had excellent functional outcome according to Constant and Murley scoring, 9 cases (56.25%) had good, 1 case (6.25%) had fair outcome and 1 case (6.25%) had poor outcome. In this series there was excellent result in 5 cases (31.25%), good in 9 cases (56.25%), fair in 1 case (6.25%) and poor in 1 case (6.25%). In this study overall a satisfactory result was found in 14 (87.50%) cases and unsatisfactory in (12.50%) cases.

CONCLUSION

Based on the results shown above it is concluded that "treatment of nonunion of humeral shaft fracture by locking plate and screws augmented with autogenous cancellous bone grafting" is an effective modality of treatment for the nonunion of humeral shaft fracture and is especially recommended in osteoporotic bones and elderly patients with compromised bone quality.

REFERENCES

1. Boyd, G. D., & Gordon, J. P. (1961). Confocal multimode resonator for millimeter through optical wavelength masers. *Bell System Technical Journal*, 40(2), 489-508.
2. Ward, T., Hudson, S. M., & Keenan, T. (1998). A self-regulation model of the sexual offense process. *Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment*, 10(2), 141-157.
3. Cole, F., Keeney, S., & Jasin, M. (2010). Comprehensive, fine-scale dissection of homologous

- recombination outcomes at a hot spot in mouse meiosis. *Molecular cell*, 39(5), 700-710.
4. Swanson, T. V., & Gustilo, R. B. (1993). 'Fractures of the humeral shaft', in Gustilo RB, Kyle RF, Templeman DC (eds), *Fractures and Dislocations*, Vol 1, Mosby-Year book Inc., St. Louise: 365-385.
 5. Zuckerman, J. D., & Koval, K. J. (1996). 'Fractures of the shaft of the humerus', in Rockwood, C. A., Green, D. P., Bucholz, R. W., & Heckman, J. D. (eds), *Rockwood and Green's Fracture in Adults*, 4th ed, Vol 1, Lippincott-Raven Publishers, Philadelphia: pp. 1025-1053.
 6. Khan, J. (2004). *Local politics of renewable energy: project planning, siting conflicts and citizen participation*. Lund University.
 7. Carroll, S. E. (1963). A study of the nutrient foramina of the humeral diaphysis. *The Journal of bone and joint surgery. British volume*, 45(1), 176-181.
 8. Choudhury, B. J., & Monteith, J. L. (1988). A four-layer model for the heat budget of homogeneous land surfaces. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 114(480), 373-398.
 9. Muller, M. E., Thomas, R. J. (1979). Treatment of nonunion in the fractures of long bones. *Clin Orthop*, 38: 141-153.
 10. Crenshaw, A. H. (2003). 'Fractures of the shoulder, arm and forearm', in Canale ST (ed), *Campbell's Operative Orthopaedics*, Vol. 3, 10th ed, Mosby Inc., St. Louise: pp. 3004-3013.
 11. Crenshaw, M. (2008). The debate over "new" vs. "old" terrorism. In *Values and Violence* (pp. 117-136). Springer, Dordrecht.
 12. Schotter, A. (2008). The economic theory of social institutions. *Cambridge Books*.
 13. Blight, D. W. (1989). "For something beyond the battlefield": Frederick Douglass and the struggle for the memory of the Civil War. *The Journal of American History*, 75(4), 1156-1178.
 14. Larson, A. N., & Rizzo, M. (2007). Locking plate technology and its applications in upper extremity fracture care. *Hand clinics*, 23(2), 269-278.
 15. Goodman, A., & Perron, D. (2002). *U.S. Patent Application No. 09/905,641*.
 16. Kolodziej, S. (1998). The complex monge-ampere equation. *Acta mathematica*, 180(1), 69-117.
 17. Fankhauser, N., & Mäser, P. (2005). Identification of GPI anchor attachment signals by a Kohonen self-organizing map. *Bioinformatics*, 21(9), 1846-1852.
 18. Ring, P., & Schuck, P. (2004). *The nuclear many-body problem*. Springer Science & Business Media.
 19. Koval, K. J., Gallagher, M. A., Marsicano, J. G., Cuomo, F., McShinawy, A., & Zuckerman, J. D. (1997). Functional outcome after minimally displaced fractures of the proximal part of the humerus. *JBJS*, 79(2), 203-7.
 20. Sommer, C., & Kress, M. (2004). Recent findings on how proinflammatory cytokines cause pain: peripheral mechanisms in inflammatory and neuropathic hyperalgesia. *Neuroscience letters*, 361(1-3), 184-187.
 21. Freeland, S. (2005). Up, up and... back: The emergence of space tourism and its impact on the international law of outer space. *Chi. J. Int'l L.*, 6, 1.
 22. Rosen, H. (1990). The treatment of nonunions and pseudoarthrosis of the humeral shaft. *Orthop Clin North Am*, 21(4):725-742.
 23. La velle, D. G. (1998). 'Delayed and nonunion of fractures', in Canale ST (ed), *Campbell's Operative Orthopaedics*, Vol 3, 9th ed, Mosby Inc., St. Louise: pp. 2579-2629.
 24. Modabber, M. R., & Jupiter, J. B. (1998). Operative management of diaphyseal fractures of the humerus plate versus nail. *Clin Orthop*, 347: 93-104.
 25. Siwach, R., Singh, R., Rohilla, R. K., Kadian, V. S., Sangwan, S. S., & Dhanda, M. (2008). Internal fixation of proximal humeral fractures with locking proximal humeral plate (LPHP) in elderly patients with osteoporosis. *Journal of Orthopaedics and Traumatology*, 9(3), 149-153.
 26. Christensen, N. O. (1976). Kuntscher intramedullary reaming and nail fixation for nonunion of the humerus. *Din Orthop*, 116: 222-225.
 27. Van de Griend, A. A., & OWE, M. (1993). On the relationship between thermal emissivity and the normalized difference vegetation index for natural surfaces. *International Journal of remote sensing*, 14(6), 1119-1131.
 28. Pandey, B. K. (2003). Comparative analysis of the results of treatment of delayed and nonunion of humeral shaft by plate and screws with cancellous bone grafting augmented by intramedullary fibular graft and by plate and screws with cancellous bone grafting at NITOR. MS Thesis, University of Dhaka, Bangladesh.
 29. Ring, D., Jupiter, J. B., Quintero, J., Sanders, R. A., & Marti, R. K. (2000). Atrophic ununited diaphyseal fractures of the humerus with a bony defect: treatment by wave-plate osteosynthesis. *The Journal of bone and joint surgery. British volume*, 82(6), 867-871.
 30. Robinson, C. M., Bell, K. M., Court-Brown, C. M., & McQueen, M. M. (1992). Locked nailing of humeral shaft fractures. Experience in Edinburgh over a two-year period. *The Journal of bone and joint surgery. British volume*, 74(4), 558-562.
 31. Habernek, H., & Orthner, E. (1991). A locking nail for fractures of the humerus. *The Journal of bone and joint surgery. British volume*, 73(4), 651-653.

Cite This Article: Munzur Rahman *et al* (2021). Evaluation of the Results of Locking Compression Plate for the Treatment of Non-United Humeral Shaft Fracture Augmented With Autogenous Cancellous Bone Graft. *East African Scholars J Med Surg*, 3(2), 28-33.