

Original Research Article

Endoscopic Sinus Surgery in Patients with Chronic Rhinosinusitis: Functional Outcome of Not Responding to Medical Therapy

Mahbubul Alam Choudhury^{1*}, Dr. Md Feroz Hossen²¹Assistant Professor, ENT Department, Nilphamary Medical College, Nilphamary, Bangladesh²Assistant Professor and Head of department, ENT Department, Northern Private Medical College, Rangpur, Bangladesh**Article History**

Received: 16.11.2021

Accepted: 19.12.2021

Published: 22.12.2021

Journal homepage:<https://www.easpublisher.com>**Quick Response Code**

Abstract: Background: Chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS) significantly affects the quality of life of patient. Chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS) is common chronic condition and is treated with antibiotics, nasal irrigation and steroids. Patients who do not respond to medical therapy are subjected to functional endoscopic sinus surgery. **Objective:** To assess the clinical improvement after functional endoscopic sinus surgery among the patients of chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS) who failed medical management. **Methods:** A Prospective longitudinal study conducted in ENT department of Mission General Hospital, Nilphamary, Bangladesh. The study period was from March 2020 to May 2020. Patient with CRS who failed with medical management were subjected functional endoscopic sinus surgery (FESS). Pre – operative symptoms score and endoscopic score were assessed and compared with that of post- operative scores at the end of 6 weeks and 12 weeks. **Results:** Fifty-One patients who completed three months of follow up were included in the study. The mean preoperative VAS symptoms score was 5.7 and was 2.23 after FESS and difference was significant (P <0.01). There was significant improvement of the endoscopic score (ES) after FESS, the mean ES preoperatively was 4.038 and it improved to 1.31 at 6 weeks and 0.75 in the 12 postoperative weeks. Post-operative adhesion was the most common complication and occurred in 15% of patients. **Conclusions:** FESS is a safe procedure. Patients with CRS who don't respond to medical therapy should undergo this safe surgical procedure FESS with good outcome.

Keywords: Chronic Rhinosinusitis, Functional Endoscopic, Sinus Surgery, Outcome.

Copyright © 2021 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution **4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0)** which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

Chronic Rhinosinusitis (CRS) is a very common chronic ailment which negatively affects quality of life of patients [1]. The epidemiological studies focusing CRS in Indian population are sparse in published literature. Though the quantum of this clinical entity in India is very high, the exact incidence and prevalence figures are not available. Surgery in the form of functional endoscopic sinus surgery (FESS) is the procedure of choice for patients who fail to respond to medical treatment [2]. Chronic rhino-sinusitis (CRS) is one of the commonest chronic disease entity involving nose and paranasal sinuses. It ranks second in all chronic condition in USA affecting about 31 million American people in a year [3, 4]. CRS is considered as a medical condition and treatment starts with a course of antibiotics, nasal irrigation and steroids. Patients who don't respond to medical management are advised for surgical procedure, and functional endoscopic sinus surgery (FESS) is the only surgical method currently

available. There is an ongoing debate regarding the effective management of CRS by medical or surgical method, and no conclusion is drawn yet as patients are mostly assessed subjectively [5]. This chronic illness is correlated with partially explained complex inflammatory mechanisms and host-environment interactions, which together explain the ineffectiveness of medical and surgical therapies in curing patients. Continuous improvement in visualization, instrumentation, and technology within endoscopic sinus surgery have allowed surgeons to operate safely and effectively by decreasing operative time, blood loss, and postoperative scarring [6, 7]. In this study we are aiming to find subjective and objective clinical improvement in CRS patients not responding to medical therapy after intervention with FESS.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A Prospective longitudinal study conducted in ENT department of Mission General Hospital,

Nilphamary, Bangladesh. The study period was from March 2020 to May 2020. The objective of the study was to assess the clinical improvement in patients who failed medical therapy in chronic rhino sinusitis after FESS. Patients with chronic rhino sinusitis who failed with medical management were included in the study. Patients under 15 years of age, and cases of revision surgery were excluded. Patients who did not respond to medical treatment with oral antibiotics, topical and or systemic steroid and nasal irrigation at the end of 12 weeks of therapy were planned for surgery. Before surgery endoscopic evaluation was done and graded according to Lund and Mackay endoscopic score and clinical outcome of the patients were assessed according to Lund and Mackay questionnaire and each symptoms were graded on visual analog scale (VAS) [8]. Computed tomography of nose and para-nasal sinuses was done prior to the surgery to the exact extent of disease and any anatomical variation that will be helpful during surgery. Endoscopic sinus surgery was performed in all patients by the faculties under general anesthesia. Complication during surgery or later was noted subsequently. Patients were discharged as per protocol of the department. Patients were followed on day 7 for assessment and nasal cleansing. Subsequent follow up was done on 6 weeks and 3 month or as per need after assessment of patient during the surgery or first follow ups. During each follow up endoscopic evaluation was done and graded according to Lund and Mackay questionnaire and clinical outcome of the patient were assessed according to Lund and Mackay questionnaire and each symptoms were graded on VAS.

The duration of symptoms ranges from 6 months to 10 years with median duration of presentation of 27.62 months (SD 26.2). Total 51 patients who failed medical management and underwent functional endoscopic sinus surgery and completed the follow up of 3 months were included in the study. Majority of patients had polyp at the time of presentation. In 30 (58.82%) of subjects polyps were visible by either anterior rhinoscopy or rigid endoscopy. Before FESS nasal blockage was the most common symptoms every patients presented had nasal obstruction except one and most severe symptoms with VAS score of 5.88 (Table-1). The postoperative mean VAS score for all the symptoms student t-test showed a statistically significant and sustainable improvement after surgery at 6 and 12 weeks (P<0. here was overall improvement of VAS was from 5.70 to 2.23 and most improved symptom was the nasal discharge (Table-2). Improvement of each symptom was when the VAS was reduced to 3 or less. Overall symptom improved among 40(78.4) out of 51 patients with 11 patients still complained of some discomfort.

RESULTS

Out of 51 patients 31(60.78%) were male and 20 (39.22%) were female. The mean age of presentation was (33.06± 12.2) years and range from 15 to 71 years.




Fig-1: Sex distribution of patients

Table-1: Symptoms distribution (n=51)

	Hyposmia	Nasal Discharge	Facial pain/Headache	Nasal Blockage
Absent	14	18	15	1
Present	37	33	36	50

Table-2: Symptoms score improvement as VAS (N=51)

	Facial pain	Nasal Blockage	Nasal Discharge	Olfactory Disturbance	Overall Disturbance
Mean VAS score at time interval at 12 week	5.47	5.88	3.88	5.87	5.71
Mean VAS score at time interval at 6 week post FESS	2.89	2.49	1.5	3	2.9
Mean VAS score at time interval at 12 week post FESS	2.69	1.67	1.26	2.53	2.23

Table-3: Symptoms Improvement after FESS

Symptoms	No. of patients improved /total	Improvement (%)
Overall discomfort	40/51	78.4
Headache/facial pain	28/35	80.0
Nasal discharge	31/33	93.9
Hyposmia	30/37	81.0
Nasal obstruction	41/50	82.0

Table-4: Pre-op and post-op mean endoscopic score

	Mean	N	Std. Dev.	95% CI	P value
ES pre FESS	4.0385	51	1.66	2.745-3.832	0.00
ES after 6weeks	1.31	51	1.36		
ES after 12weeks	.7500	51	1.20	2.144-3.317	0.00

About 93% with nasal discharge had improvement after Functional endoscopic sinus surgery (Table-3). Student t-test showed significant improvement of the endoscopic score (ES) after FESS, endoscopic score (ES) included the summation of nasal polyp, discharge. The mean ES preoperatively was 4.038 and it improved to 1.31 at 6 weeks and 0.75 in the 12 postoperative weeks (Table-4). Post op adhesion (15%) and peri-orbital oedema (9.6%) were the more frequent complications. There was recurrence of polyp in 9.6% patients within a follow up period of 12 weeks.

DISCUSSION

Endoscopic sinus surgery improved the quality of life of the patients with chronic rhinosinusitis enrolled in this study. Despite the worse quality-of-life scores in early postoperative follow-up. Chronic rhino sinusitis is a common clinical entity and its prevalence ranges from 1.1 to 16% [9, 10]. The prevalence of nasal polyp among patients of CRS varies, a large cohort of 803 studies by Bhattacharya and Smith TL had nasal polyps ranging from 19%-36% [11-13]. Our study had a higher (52%) case of polyp which might have been due to inclusion of patients with failed medical therapy, and being the only tertiary care center in eastern Nepal we receive cases from other center where there aren't facilities of FESS and patients with nasal polyposis were frequently referred to our center. We found statistically significant improvement after FESS in patients not responding to the medical therapy both subjectively (VAS score) and objectively (nasal endoscopy). There was improvement of mean VAS in overall discomfort from 5.71 to 2.23, improvement in headache/facial pain from 5.47 to 2.69, nasal discharge from 3.88 to 1.26, hyposmia from 5.87 to 2.53 and nasal blockage from 5.88 to 1.67. There was overall improvement in 78.8% patients. Similarly improvement in facial pain/headache was 80%, nasal discharge in 94.1%, hyposmia in 81.5% and nasal blockage in 82.3%. These results are similar to various other studies. Mishra DK in his study in western Nepal found improvement in nasal obstruction among 93.7% cases, improvement in nasal discharge was 89.91% and improvement in headache was 93.1% with overall improvement of 87.7% [14]. The endoscopic score in our study had significant improvement at 6 and 12 postoperative week compared to preoperative score. The preoperative endoscopic score was 4.038 ± 1.66 and was reduced to 1.31 ± 1.36 at 6th and 0.75 ± 1.2 at 12th postoperative week. In the present study 32(62.74%) patients were non-smoker and 19(37.25%) were smokers. The postoperative VAS was lower, 2.02 among non-smokers than the smoker group with mean

VAS of 2.52. The outcome was not statistically significant but the results demonstrates a better outcome of FESS among non-smoker. There is less favorable outcomes among smokers as smoking impairs ciliary function and impairs wound healing, affects the drainage [15]. In our study about 20% patient developed minor complication like adhesion, periorbital oedema and post-operative hemorrhage. Post adhesion was the most common complication occurring in 12% of the cases. We had one patient with major intraoperative hemorrhage. Our complications rate were similar to other study, Dursum *et al.*, in their study reported overall complication rate of 20.24%-minor and 0.24% major (CSF leak) complications among 415 patients. Study by Abdul Aziz reported a rate of 12% of minor complication and 0.5% of CSF leak. A systematic review in EPOS 2012 about the safety and efficacy of FESS found the major complication ranged from 0%-1.5% and minor complications from 1.1% to 20.8% [16, 17].

CONCLUSIONS

Endoscopic sinus surgery significantly improved the quality of life of patients with chronic rhinosinusitis. FESS is a safe procedure. Patients with CRS who don't respond to medical therapy should undergo this safe surgical procedure FESS with good outcome.

REFERENCES

1. Lanza, D. C., & Kennedy, D. W. (1997). Adult rhinosinusitis defined. *Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery*, 117(3_suppl), S1-S7.
2. Kennedy, D. W., Zinreich, S. J., Rosenbaum, A. E., & Johns, M. E. (1985). Functional endoscopic sinus surgery: theory and diagnostic evaluation. *Archives of otolaryngology*, 111(9), 576-582.
3. Benninger, M. S., Ferguson, B. J., Hadley, J. A., Hamilos, D. L., Jacobs, M., Kennedy, D. W., ... & Levine, H. (2003). Adult chronic rhinosinusitis: definitions, diagnosis, epidemiology, and pathophysiology. *Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery*, 129(3), S1-S32.
4. Cherry, D. K., & Woodwell, D. A. (2002). National ambulatory medical care survey: 2000 summary. *Advance data*, (328), 1-32.
5. Fang, S. Y. (1994). Normalization of maxillary sinus mucosa after FESS. A prospective study of chronic sinusitis with nasal polyps. *Rhinology*, 32(3), 137-140.
6. Senior, B. A., Jafri, K., & Benninger, M. (2001). Safety and efficacy of endoscopic repair of CSF

- leaks and encephaloceles: a survey of the members of the American Rhinologic Society. *American journal of rhinology*, 15(1), 21-26.
7. Cappabianca, P., Cavallo, L. M., Colao, A., De Caro, M. D. B., Esposito, F., Cirillo, S., ... & De Divitiis, E. (2002). Endoscopic endonasal transsphenoidal approach: outcome analysis of 100 consecutive procedures. *Min-Minimally Invasive Neurosurgery*, 45(04), 193-200.
 8. Chiu, A. G., & Kennedy, D. W. (2004). Surgical management of chronic rhinosinusitis and nasal polyposis: a review of the evidence. *Current allergy and asthma reports*, 4(6), 486-489.
 9. Hopkins, C., Browne, J. P., Slack, R., Lund, V., & Brown, P. (2007). The Lund-Mackay staging system for chronic rhinosinusitis: how is it used and what does it predict?. *Otolaryngology—Head and Neck Surgery*, 137(4), 555-561.
 10. Greisner III, W. A., & Settipane, G. A. (1996, September). Hereditary factor for nasal polyps. In *Allergy and asthma proceedings*, 17(5), 283-286). OceanSide Publications.
 11. Blackwell, D. L., Collins, J. G., & Coles, R. (2002). Summary health statistics for U.S. adults: National Health Interview Survey, 1997. *Vital Health Stat*, 10(205), 1–109.
 12. Larsen, P. L., & Tos, M. (1995). Site of origin of nasal polyps. Transcranially removed nasosethmoidal blocks as a screening method for nasal polyps in autopsy material. *Rhinology*, 33(4), 185-188.
 13. Bhattacharyya, N. (2006). Clinical and symptom criteria for the accurate diagnosis of chronic rhinosinusitis. *The Laryngoscope*, 116(S110), 1-22.
 14. Smith, T. L., Mendolia-Loffredo, S., Loehrl, T. A., Sparapani, R., Laud, P. W., & Nattinger, A. B. (2005). Predictive factors and outcomes in endoscopic sinus surgery for chronic rhinosinusitis. *The Laryngoscope*, 115(12), 2199-2205.
 15. Mishra, D. K., Bhatta, R., & Verma, L. R. (2010). Quality of life after functional endoscopic sinus surgery. *Nepalese Journal of ENT Head and Neck Surgery*, 1(2), 6-8.
 16. Cohen, N. A., Zhang, S., Sharp, D. B., Tamashiro, E., Chen, B., Sorscher, E. J., & Woodworth, B. A. (2009). Cigarette smoke condensate inhibits transepithelial chloride transport and ciliary beat frequency. *The Laryngoscope*, 119(11), 2269-2274.
 17. Matsuwaki, Y., Ookushi, T., Asaka, D., Mori, E., Nakajima, T., Yoshida, T., ... & Moriyama, H. (2008). Chronic rhinosinusitis: risk factors for the recurrence of chronic rhinosinusitis based on 5-year follow-up after endoscopic sinus surgery. *International archives of allergy and immunology*, 146(Suppl. 1), 77-81.

Cite This Article: Mahbubul Alam Choudhury & Md Feroz Hossen (2021). Endoscopic Sinus Surgery in Patients with Chronic Rhinosinusitis: Functional Outcome of Not Responding To Medical Therapy. *East African Scholars J Med Sci*, 4(11), 301-304.