

## Research Article

## Effect of Microwave Electromagnetic Radiation on Growth Measures and Photosynthetic Pigments of Green Gram (*Vigna Radiate.*) Seedling

Saima Ibrahim<sup>1</sup>, Yasra Bashir<sup>2</sup>, Salma Zaki<sup>2</sup>, Samia Mehmood<sup>2</sup>, Umul Mustafa<sup>2</sup>, Afreen Khanum<sup>2</sup>, Kainat Ishtiaq<sup>2</sup>, Fabiha Maheen<sup>2</sup>, Amber Rehmat<sup>2</sup><sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Jinnah University for women. 5C· Block 5 Nazimabad, Karachi, Karachi City, Sindh 74600, Pakistan\*Corresponding Author  
Saima Ibrahim

**Abstract:** A Laboratory test was conducted in completely randomize design, with three replications, in October 2018 at the Department of Botany, Jinnah University for women, Karachi to evaluate the *effect of microwave electromagnetic radiation at different durations of time* on seedling growth and photosynthetic pigments fractions (stress indicators) in Green Gram (*Vigna radiata* .L) Seedlings. The experiment was laid down at completely randomize design. Each set of treatment was replicated thrice for statistical analysis. The Seeds were irradiated with three different time periods of microwave radiation (5, 15 & 30 Seconds). Control seeds were not treated. Analysis of data showed that the differential exposure of microwave had significant effect on seedling growth & Pigments. The exposed electromagnetic radiation had a partially good effect on seedling growth significantly in 15 Sec exposures as compare to other treatment and control. The photosynthetic pigments also markedly responded with electromagnetic radiation and proved themselves a responsive stress indicator with differential radiation exposures. It can be noticed that the Photosynthetic pigments of green gram seedling were positively affected by microwave exposure. The highest results of chlorophyll content had been obtained when green gram seeds were treated with microwave radiation For 15 Sec of exposure.

**Keywords:** *Vigna radiate.L*; electromagnetic radiation; photosynthetic pigment; stress indicators.

### INTRODUCTION:

Several researches showed that the chlorophyll quantity is interrelated with plant efficiency and physical condition (Dash and Curran, 2007). In plants, Phyto-chlorophyll work as an stress marker and give vital information about plant response to climate change. (Merzlyak and Gitelson, 1995; Penuelas and Filella, 1998). When a plant is under stress, chlorophyll content lowers down and this change is mainly obvious in initial stages. As much the stress intensified, chlorophyll content decreases faster than other pigments (Bannari *et al.*, 2007). This fluctuating quantity of chlorophyll indicates the stress to which the plant was subjected (Radu *et al.*, 1981). The adaptableness of organisms toward the intense conditions of environmental stress is an area of great current research. Now a day, the demanding use of mobile and other wireless devices generate an exponentially increased level of electromagnetic radiations. Thus, there is a new stress factor- electromagnetic field, especially microwave field greatly influence plant health (Vashisth

and Nagarajan, 2008). To analyze this effect, many researchers worked on the effect of radio-frequencies (RF) on seeds through their experimentation (Chen and Wang, 2004). Thermal effect of microwave is evidently correlated with charged particles and polar molecules. Microwave field is basically a type of electromagnetic energy, and its interaction with charged particles and polar molecules leads to their agitation (heat). Biological material to be found under this radiation absorbs a selected quantity of energy, which depends on the dielectric characteristics of the material (Liang *et al.*, 2000).

In several published investigations regarding the exposure of microwave radiation consequence on plant growth has an encouraging effect in accelerating seed germination (Rao *et al.*, 1989; Hu *et al.*, 1996; and Chen *et al.*, 2004). However, there is still need a more research to know how pre-treatment of seeds with microwave causes a dramatic change in the inner energy of seeds, provoke activities of enzyme, leading

Quick Response Code



Journal homepage:

<http://www.easpublisher.com/easjpp/>

Article History

Received: 10.01.2019

Accepted: 25.01.2019

Published: 15.02.2019

**Copyright © 2019 The Author(s):** This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

DOI: 10.36349/easjpp.2019.v01i01.003

to the enhancement of cell metabolism, and also improved the intensity of bio-photon emission, which is considered as the driven key of cell metabolism (Abeles, 1986; Hige & Inaba, 1991).

It has been proven now that microwave short period exposure resulted in improved germination rate and percentage and also the vigor and vitality of the rising seedlings (Tran 1979; Nelson and Stetson 1985); however long exposure usually resulted in seed death (Ark and Parry 1940; Bebawi, *et al.* 2007; Brodie, *et al.* 2009). The fatal effect of microwave heating on seeds was first studied by (Davis *et al.*, 1971 & Davis *et al.*, 1973). They treated seeds in a microwave oven and observed that seed damage was mostly subjective by a combination of seed moisture content and the energy absorbed per seed. Therefore, in the present study is planned to evaluate the effect of a microwave (5 Sec, 15 Sec and 30 Sec exposures) on seed germination of Green Gram (*Vigna radiata*. L) And also to determine in biochemical changes related to this electro-magnetic radiation based stress factor.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Experimental Design:**

Three sets of green gram dry seeds were irradiated by Microwave radiation for 5, 15 & 30 Seconds. 10 healthy, irradiated, chemically sterilized seed of Green Gram (*Vigna radiata* .L) were kept in Petri dishes with one disc of filter paper under normal laboratory conditions with temperature ranging from 25-30°C same set up replicate thrice for statistical analysis. Control was made with no exposure. Germinated seeds were counted and evaluated daily. Moisture of Petri dishes during the experiment was maintained at constant saturation. At the end of experiment, all the treated and non treated (control) seeds were subjected for the measurement of physical growth including seedling length, seedling biomass and Seedling Succulence = fresh weight /dry weight. After that the Photosynthetic pigments were extracted from experimental plants with 80% cold acetone and estimated according to Arnon’s (1949). The data was analyzed by statistic software SPSS 16 (version 17).

The differences were considered significant at  $p < 0.05$  when treatments’ mean compared with control.

**A. GROWTH PARAMETERS:**

Morphological observations were comprised of root and shoot length (cm), fresh and dry weight (mg/seedling) and succulence (fresh wt /dry wt.) (Weatherley and Barrs 1962).

**B. BIOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS :**

➤ Photosynthetic Pigment Content (Chlorophyll a, b & Carotenoids) were determined in leaf samples (mg/g fresh weight) according to Arnon’s Method<sup>20,1949</sup> by formula

1. Chlorophyll. a =  $12.7 \times (\text{OD})^{663\text{nm}} - 2.69 \times (\text{OD})^{645\text{nm}} \times V \div 1000 \times W$
2. Chlorophyll. b =  $22.9 \times (\text{OD})^{645\text{nm}} - 4.68 \times (\text{OD})^{663\text{nm}} \times V \div 1000 \times W$
3. Total chlorophyll =  $20.2 \times (\text{OD})^{645\text{nm}} + 8.02 \times (\text{OD})^{663\text{nm}} \times V \div 1000 \times W$
4. Carotenoid =  $20 \times (\text{OD})^{480\text{nm}} + 2.28 \times (\text{OD})^{663\text{nm}} - 12.76 \times (\text{OD})^{645\text{nm}} \times V \div 1000 \times W$

Where, OD= Optical density, V= total volume of chlorophyll Extract and 80% Acetone and W= Fresh Weight of plant sample

**Inhibitory Percentage (I):**

Inhibitory percentage of observed data in comparison to control was calculated by Surendra and Pota,1978<sup>22</sup> Formula.

$$I \% = \frac{C-T}{C} \times 100$$

Where, I is the % inhibition, T is treatment reading and C is control reading.

**Statistical Analysis:**



Calculated data was subjected to software program of SPSS version 17 for statistical analysis. Each tabulated value was the mean of at least three replicates. Data are displayed as mean ± standard deviation within three replications.

**DATA RECORDED: Growth Parameters**

Table-1 Effect of Microwave Radiation on Green Gram Seedling Growth

Treatment		Root Length (cm)	Shoot Length (cm)	Fresh Weight (gm)	Dry Weight (gm)
T0	0 - Sec Microwave Exposure	10.23 (0)	8.54 (0)	3.99 (0)	0.32 (0)
T1	5 - Sec Microwave Exposure	11.56 (+13.0)	9.69 (+13.46)	4.22 (+5.76)	0.47 (+46.87)
T2	15 - Sec Microwave Exposure	5.13* (-49.85)	11.36 (+33.02)	4.71 (+18.04)	0.49* (+53.12)
T3	30 - Sec Microwave Exposure	4.53* (-55.71)	7.76 (-9.13)	4.09 (+2.50)	0.29* (-9.37)


➤ Each tabulated value is the mean of 3 replicates.  
 ➤ Values in parenthesis indicate percent increase (+) or decrease (-) over control reading.  
 ➤ Values bearing \* are significantly different with control at the level of Standard Deviation  $p < 0.05$  (LSD).



**Table-1-b Effect of Microwave Radiation on Seedling Succulence of Green Gram**

Treatments		*Fresh Weight (gm)	*Dry Weight (gm)	* Seedling Succulence Fresh Mass / Dry Mass
T0	0 - Sec Exposure	3.99 (0)	0.32 (0)	3.99/0.32=12.46 (0) ± 0.012
T1	5 - Sec Exposure	4.22 (+5.76)	0.47 (+46.87)	4.22/0.47=8.97 (-28) ± 0.003
T2	15 - Sec Exposure	4.71 (+18.04)	0.49 (+53.13)	4.71/0.49=9.61 (-22.87) ± 0.015
T3	30 - Sec Exposure	4.09 (+2.50)	0.29 (-9.37)	4.09/0.29=14.1 (+13.16) ± 0.032

- Each tabulated value is the mean of 3 replicates.
- Values in parenthesis indicate percent increase (+) or decrease (-) over control.
- \* In Seedling Succulent data the Means followed by the Values that shows significant result at the level of Standard Deviation  $p < 0.05$  (LSD).




**BIOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS:**

**Photosynthetic Pigment Content (Chlorophyll a, b and Carotenoids)**

**Table-2 Microwave Radiation effects on Photosynthetic Pigments of Green Gram**

S. No.	Treatment	CHL. A (mg/gm)= $12.7 \times (OD)^{663nm} - 2.69 \times (OD)^{645nm} \times V/1000 \times W$	CHL.B (mg/gm)= $22.9 \times (OD)^{645nm} - 4.68 \times (OD)^{663nm} \times V/1000 \times W$	TOTAL CHL (mg/gm)= $20.2 \times (OD)^{645nm} + 8.02 \times (OD)^{663nm} \times V/1000 \times W$	CAROTENOID (mg/gm) = $20 \times (OD)^{480nm} + 2.28 \times (OD)^{663nm} - 12.76 \times (OD)^{645nm}$
1	0 – Sec Exposure	0.09 (0)	0.043 (0)	0.149 (0)	0.17 (0)
2	5 – Sec Exposure	0.14 (+55.55)	0.049 (+13.95)	0.166 (+11.40)	0.248 (+45.88)
3	15 – Sec Exposure	0.172 (+91.11)	0.085 (+97.67)	0.281* (+88.59)	0.351* (+106.47)
4	30 – Sec Exposure	0.246 * (+173.33)	0.151* (+251.16)	0.397* (+166.44)	0.376* (+121.17)

- Each tabulated value is the mean of 3 replicates.
- Values in parenthesis indicate percent increase (+) or decrease (-) over control reading.
- Values bearing \* are significantly different with control at the level of Standard Deviation  $p < 0.05$  (LSD).



**RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

➤ **Effects of Microwave Radiation on Seedling Length (Root & Shoot Length):**

The root length of 10 days old green gram seedling (Table-2) showed significant increase only with microwave irradiance for 5 Sec, in comparison of control plant but the differences was not much considerable (i.e.13%). While the shoot length evidently proved the positive effect of short exposure of microwave radiation with 5 second (13.46%) and 15 seconds (33.02%) respectively. The data showed that 30 second exposure was harmful for root length and showed 55.71% decrease in root length as compare to control (Table -1).

➤ **Effects of Microwave Radiation on Seedling Biomass (Fresh & Dry Weight):**

The data of Table -1 declared that Microwave exposures had a significant effect on of 10 days old seedlings by improvement in fresh mass in all the exposed period (5, 15 sec and 30 Sec) and raise in Dry mass with 5 and 15 second exposure. But the dry mass did not significantly change and showed 9.37% inhibitions with 30 second exposure.

This Laboratory based growth experiment of plants subject to differential period of microwave magnetic field exposure demonstrated that the treated plants were taller and heavier than the non treated control plant and this observation was also in agreement with those of (Martínez *et al.*, 2003)

➤ **Effects of Microwave Radiation on Seedling Succulence (Fresh wt. / Dry Wt.):**

The seedling succulence shown improvement with 30 Sec exposure (+13.16), when compared with control plant succulence ( $P < 0.005$ ). The improvement of succulence and initial growth endorsed due to the decomposition of saccharides molecules in seeds by irradiation (Braginets, 1989, Dario and Salgado, 1994) and thus cause mobilization of nutrients towards the growing embryo. This statement was also evident in the work of Ponomarev *et al.*, (1996) on oats and barley, Rao *et al.*, (1989) on mustard and Hu *et al.* (1994) and Kozai *et al.*, (1995) on rice. However, this tendency of enhancement was also depended on duration of irradiation and type of the receiver plant.

➤ **Effect of Microwave Radiation on Photosynthetic Pigments**

The quantitative assay of green gram photosynthetic pigments was presented in table-2. The data clearly shown the increase of chlorophyll (Chl-a, Chl-b, Total chlorophyll) and Carotenoids Contents in 10 days old electro-magnetic irradiated seedlings with all the three exposed duration (5, 15 and 30 Sec), in comparison of control plant ( $P < 0.005$ ) (Fig.-2). This observation also revealed that the low doses stimulated pigment contents production in seedlings state. Increase in photosynthetic pigment especially Carotenoid with microwave slight exposure due to heat treatment trigger the liberation and the bioavailability of carotenoids as investigated by Rock *et al.*, (1998). These results were also in agreement with those of Creanga *et al.* (1996) and Cao *et al.*, (1998).

**REFERENCE**

1. Dash, J., & Curran, P. J. (2007). Evaluation of the MERIS terrestrial chlorophyll index (MTCI). *Advances in Space Research*, 39(1), 100-104.
2. Merzlyak, M. N., & Gitelson, A. (1995). Why and what for the leaves are yellow in autumn? On the interpretation of optical spectra of senescing leaves (*Acer platanoides* L.). *Journal of Plant Physiology*, 145(3), 315-320.
3. Peñuelas, J., & Filella, I. (1998). Visible and near-infrared reflectance techniques for diagnosing plant physiological status. *Trends in plant science*, 3(4), 151-156.
4. Bannari, A., Khurshid, K. S., Staenz, K., & Schwarz, J. W. (2007). A comparison of hyperspectral chlorophyll indices for wheat crop chlorophyll content estimation using laboratory reflectance measurements. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 45(10), 3063-3074.
5. Radu, A., Andronescu, E., & Fuzi, I. (1981). *Botanica farmaceutica*. Ed. Didactica si Pedagogica, Bucuresti.
6. Vashisth, A., & Nagarajan, S. (2008). Exposure of seeds to static magnetic field enhances germination

and early growth characteristics in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Bioelectromagnetics*, (29), 571-578.

7. Chen Y.P., & Wang X.L. (2004). Study on biology effects of microwave pretreatment seeds of *Isatis indigotica*. *Acta Bot Boreal-Occident Sin*, (24), 1057-1060.
8. Liang H.M., Hu Y.Y., & Yang L. (2000). Effects of microwave treatments on the male and female flower formation of microwave irradiation on germination and initial growth of mustard seed. *Indian J Agron* (34), 376-379.
9. Rao, Y.V.S., Chakravarthy, N.V.K., & Panda, B.C. (1989). Effect of microwave on germination and initial growth of mustard seeds. - *Indian J. Agron*, (34), 378-379.
10. Hu, X.R., Li H.L., & Jiang Y.P. (1996). Effect of microwave and hot treatment on the seeds germination of *Oryza sativa* L. *Acta Agron Sin*, (22), 220-222.
11. Abeles, F.B. (1986). Plant chemiluminescence. *Annu Rev Plant Physiol* (37), 49-72.
12. Higege, E. & H. Inaba. (1991). Biophoton emission (ultra-weak photoemission) from dark adapted spinach chloroplasts. *Photochem Photobiol*, (55), 137-142.
13. Tran, V. N. (1979). Effects of Microwave Energy on the Strophiole, Seed Coat and Germination of *Acacia* Seeds. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology*, 6(3), 277-287.
14. Nelson, S. O., & Stetson, L. E. (1985). Germination responses of selected plant species to RF electrical seed treatment. *Transactions of the ASAE*, 28(6), 2051-2058
15. Ark, P. A., & Parry, W. (1940). Application of High-Frequency Electrostatic Fields in Agriculture. *The Quarterly Review of Biology*, 15(2), 172-191.
16. Bebawi, F. F., Cooper, A. P., Brodie, G. I., Madigan, B. A., Vitelli, J. S., Worsley, K. J., & Davis, K. M. 2007. Effect of microwave radiation on seed mortality of rubber vine (*Cryptostegia grandiflora* R.Br.), parthenium (*Parthenium hysterophorus* L.) and bellyache bush (*Jatropha gossypifolia* L.). *Plant Protection Quarterly*, 22(4), 136-142.
17. Brodie, G., Harris, G., Pasma, L., Travers, A., Leyson, D., Lancaster, C., & Woodworth, J. 2009. Microwave soil heating for controlling ryegrass seed germination. *Transactions of the American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers*, 52(1), 295-302.
18. Davis, F. S., Wayland, J. R., & Merkle, M. G. 1971. Ultrahigh-Frequency Electromagnetic Fields for Weed Control: Phytotoxicity and Selectivity. *Science*, 173 (3996), 535-537.
19. Davis, F. S., Wayland, J. R. and Merkle, M. G. 1973. Phytotoxicity of a UHF Electromagnetic Field. *Nature*, 241(5387), 291-292.
20. Arnon, D.I. (1949). Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts. Polyphenoloxidase in *Beta vulgaris*. *Plant Physiology*, (24), 1-15.

21. Weatherley, P.E., Barrs, H.D. (1962). Examination of relative turgidity technique for estimating water deficits in leaves. - *Aust. J. biol. Sci.*, (15), 413-418.
22. Surendra, M.P. & Pota, K.B. (1978). allelopathic potentials of root exudates from different ages of *Celosía argento* Linn. Letter in National Academy of Sciences, (1), 56-58.
23. Martínez E, Carbonell MV, Flórez M. (2003). Estimulación de la germinación y el crecimiento por exposición a campos magnéticos. *Investigacion y Ciencia*, (324),24–8
24. Braginets, N.V. (1089). Irradiation of grain for animal feed. -*Mekhanizatsiya Élektrifikatsiya sel'skogo Khozyaïstva* (1), 29-31.
25. Dario, A.C., Salgado, J.M. (1994). Supplementation of irradiated and non-irradiated cowpea bean (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp) protein with cereal proteins. - *Plant Foods Human Nutr.*(46),213-219.
26. Ponomarev, L., Dolgodvorov, V., Popov, V., Rodin, O., & Roman, A. (1996). The effect of low-intensity electromagnetic microwave field on seed germination. *Proceedings of Timiryazev Agricultural Academy*, 2, 42-46.
27. Hu, Y. Y., Xu, Y., & Pan, J. Z. (1994). Effect of microwave treatment on germination of rice seeds. *Plant Physiology Commun*, 30, 414-416.
28. Kozai, T., Kitaya, Y., & Oh, Y. S. (1994). Microwave-Powered Lamps As A High Intensity Light Source for plant growth. *Greenhouse Environment Control and Automation* 399, 107-112.
29. Rock, C. L., Lovalvo, J. L., Emenhiser, C., Ruffin, M. T., Flatt, S. W., & Schwartz, S. J. (1998). Bioavailability of  $\beta$ -carotene is lower in raw than in processed carrots and spinach in women. *The Journal of nutrition*, 128(5), 913-916.
30. Creanga, D. O. R. I. N. A., Bara, I. I., Cernea, M. A. R. I. A., & Tufescu, F. M. (1995). The influence of microwaves treatment on some phenotypical parameters at *Secale cereale* L. *Revue Roumaine de Biologie*, 41(1), 54-51.
31. Cao, X., Cheng, B., Zou, Q., Yuan, S., Bi, S., & Bao, G. (1998). Studies on the physiological effects of pulsed magnetic field treatments on wheat. *Journal of Shandong Agricultural University*, 29(3), 345-350.