

Original Research Article

Identification and Profiling of Risk Factors Associated with Decreased Fish Population and Biodiversity: A Case Study of Kolleru Lake in Andhra Pradesh (During the Year of December, 2020 to December, 2021)

Mrs Deepthi Gajula^{1*}, Dr. S. P. Jeya Priya²¹Research Scholar, Department of Zoology, Annamalai University, Tamil Nadu, India²Assistant Professor, Department of Zoology, Govt. Arts College for Women, Pudukkottai-622001, Tamil Nadu, India**Article History**

Received: 12.11.2024

Accepted: 17.12.2024

Published: 28.12.2024

Journal homepage:<http://www.easpublisher.com>**Quick Response Code**

Abstract: At the start, we consider the greatest decreases in reproducing populace size that have been seen across a wide scope of animal categories. The essential imperative on such an activity is that these alleged memorable paces of decline will constantly misjudge real decays, since genuine notable populace maxima will have happened well before fishery the executives offices started gathering information on fish overflow. For most fish populaces, dependable quantitative information on overflow have just been accessible for the beyond 2 to thirty years. One incredibly helpful information base in such manner has been kept up with by Ransom Myers at Dalhousie University. In this information base, bringing forth stock size, enlistment, gets by the fishery, and fishing mortality, all assessed by the public or global organization answerable for the administration of every populace, are accessible for a considerable length of time. Obviously marine fishes have encountered phenomenal downfalls comparative with known memorable levels. These information depend on populaces for which time series reach out something like 10 years, with a mean of 25 years and a limit of 73 years. Taken all in all, the middle greatest populace decline among the 232 populaces for which information are accessible is 83%; well over portion of the populaces (58%) showed most extreme decays of 80% or more. The solid negative slant in the information, and the high middle decrease in overflow, are likewise apparent at lower ordered levels. Among 56 populaces of *clupeids*, 73% experienced notable downfalls of 80% or more. Inside the *Gadidae* and *cod*, of the 70 populaces for which there are information, the greater part declined 80% or more. Furthermore, among 30 *pleuronectid* populaces, 43% displayed declines of 80% or more. These outcomes are sobering for two reasons. To start with, a considerable lot of them have happened notwithstanding a gigantic work to keep them from occurring. Second, as indicated above, they depend on "noteworthy" maxima that are not exactly notable by any means, most fisheries having been well under way many years or hundreds of years before the time series of information started. Without even a trace of longer-term information, analysts' discernments will more often than not scale to time spans that they, or maybe their *folks*, can recall. This outcomes in the "moving benchmark condition", by which researchers acknowledge information from an ever increasing number of late periods as baselines, failing to remember that this permits definitely diminished populaces to fill in for the a lot higher baselines that happened before people started significantly affecting populaces.

Keywords: Identification, Risk, Decreased, Fish, Biodiversity, Molecular, Syndrome.

Copyright © 2024 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

The reason behind most administration methodologies and recuperation plans is that the essential element repressing recuperation is fishing. While this appears to be intelligent, particularly in light of the fact that fishing is generally firmly embroiled in a

populace's underlying decay, the help for this thought is shockingly dubious. To analyze whether recuperation is emphatically connected to decreases in fishing, examined appraisals of abuse rate (how much fish taken by a fishery corresponding to what is accessible to be taken, including accidental or by get mortality when such information are free) from the Myers data set. Utilizing

these assessments, Hutchings determined the proportions of double-dealing rates for every populace 5 years after breakdown to abuse rates in the 5 years before breakdown. Consequently, a decline in a populace's abuse rate after breakdown would yield a proportion not exactly. True to form, populaces recuperated all the more rapidly while fishing mortality declined after breakdown. Populaces got back to a normal of 39% of their prolapse size while fishing mortality declined, contrasted and a normal of just 29% recuperation while fishing mortality stayed steady or expanded. Along these lines, apparently a decrease in fishing mortality is for sure vital for recuperation. Shockingly, notwithstanding, the degree to which fishing mortality was decreased after a breakdown had no distinguishable impact on recuperation 5, 10, or after 15 years. This ended up being the case regardless of whether one represented populace contrasts in the greatest potential populace development rate. The absence of relationship between decreases in fishing mortality and paces of recuperation 5 years or more after a breakdown ought not be deciphered as proof that diminished fishing has no advantageous impact on recuperation. Obviously, a few populaces have answered well to decreases in fishing mortality, while others have not. Decreases in fishing give off an impression of being vital, however not generally adequate, for recuperation. A few other likely connects of recuperation in marine fishes can be distinguished from the writing and from known or suspected joins between different elements.

Profiling of Risk Factors Associated with Decreased Fish Population and Biodiversity

Freshwater conditions are encountering not kidding danger to both biodiversity and biological system dependability and numerous methodologies have been proposed to tackle this emergency (Williams *et al.*, 1989; Warren and Burr, 1994; Cowx, 2002; Suski and Cooke, 2006). Late gauges propose that, around the world, 20% of all freshwater species are wiped out, imperiled or defenceless (Maclean and Jones, 1995; IUCN, 2010). Oceanic biological systems are especially worried by the deficiency of biodiversity (Ricciardi and Rasmussen, 1999; Gibbs 2000; Saunders *et al.*, 2002; Dawson *et al.*, 2003), particularly because of human movement (Pullin, 2000; Abell, 2002). Freshwater fish are perhaps the most compromised scientific classification (Darwall and Vie, 2005; Dudgeon *et al.*, 2006; Sarkar *et al.*, 2008; Schmeller, 2008) in light of their high aversion to the quantitative and subjective changes of amphibian natural surroundings (Oberdorff *et al.*, 2002; Laffaille *et al.*, 2005), restrictions in the physiology, morphology, and life history of species related with ecological limitations (Williams *et al.*, 2003; Skov and Svenning, 2004; Hilbert *et al.*, 2004; Thomas *et al.*, 2004). As a result, they are regularly utilized as bio indicators for the appraisal of water quality, waterway network availability or stream system and a vital component in ecological preparation (Schneiders *et al.*, 1993; Schiemer, 2000; Chovanec *et al.*, 2003; Whitfield *et al.*, 2005). The primary driver are territory obliteration

and discontinuity, changing acts of land-use (counting surrender, and heightening of purpose of normal assets) (Chapin *et al.*, 2000; Sala *et al.*, 2000), colorful species presentation, water system necessities, enterprises and private use (Szollosi-Nagy *et al.*, 1998), contamination (Lima-Junior *et al.*, 2006) and worldwide environmental change impacts (Cuizhang *et al.*, 2003; Leveque *et al.*, 2005; Leptez *et al.*, 2009).

To monitor the biodiversity, United Nations announced 2010 to be the International Year of Biodiversity (Martens, 2010). The world is welcome to make a move in 2010 to defend the assortment of life on the planet: biodiversity. (<http://www.cbd.int/2010/welcome/>). In the Ganga waterway bowl, the existence line of Northern India, modifications in fish variety and local area structure are predominantly due to hydrological adjustments, dam developments, over fishing, contamination, water redirections, changing area use design, fascinating species intrusion, quick sedimentation, deforestation, climatic changes and land disintegration and so forth (Sarkar *et al.*, 2011a; Lakra *et al.*, 2011b). Angles on biodiversity and protection of the ichthyofauna in the Asian area have been generally less reported, in contrast with that of Africa, Europe and North America (Thuy *et al.*, 2006). The riverine environments of India have experienced extreme human intercession bringing about living space misfortune and corruption and as a result, many fish species have become exceptionally imperiled, specific in Ganges bowl where weighty interest is put on freshwaters

Pattern of Fish Diversity

Ongoing endeavors on fish variety studies in India are being alarming on those waterways which has been made arrangements for interlinking activities in not so distant future. Taking into account that, one of the significant feeder of waterway Yamuna has been concentrated broadly as to its freshwater fish variety and species structure (Lakra *et al.*, 2011b). Correspondingly now, this study presents gauge data on the species structure, overflow and dissemination in a neglected and unimpacted stream in Indian waterway framework that might be vigorously changed by an interlinking venture in not so distant future. The preeminent data on the fish variety of this waterway which portrayed 57 species addressing 42 genera and 20 families addresses a rich animal categories variety in examination with a portion of the Western Ghats (a biodiversity area of interest locale) Rivers and Gomti River of the Gangetic fields (Sarkar *et al.*, 2009) and Betwa stream covering whole stretch in Andhra Pradesh (Lakra *et al.*, 2010; Joshi *et al.*, 2009) yet lesser than revealed by Srivastava (1988) from States of Andhra Pradesh and Tamilnadu, 111 species and 92 species from Central Western Ghats (Bhatt, 2003), 69 species from Northeastern India (Kar *et al.*, 2006) lesser a new report from one more feeder of a stream (Gerua stream, waterway Ganga) in Uttar Pradesh (Sarkar *et al.*, 2007, 2008) 71 species in Chalakudy River, Western Ghat (Raghavan *et al.*, 2008a) however

higher than the 46 species depicted from a bird safe-haven in UP. The variety is additionally fairly more than streams of the Western Ghat (a biodiversity area of interest locale) viz. Sharavati (51 species), Aghanashini (52 species), and Kali (53 species) revealed by Bhatt 2019.

Review of Literature

In one more concentrate by Lakes of India (February12, 2022) [¹], who utilized multivariate investigation of morphometric information alongside meristic characters to explain the intra-and inter population variety in silver roost, *Leiopotherapon plumbeus* from three lakes in the Philippines. Bektas and Belduz inspected morphological variety of the Atlantic pony mackerel, *trachurus* among five different testing areas in Turkey. Lakes Report analyzed morphological inconstancy among three geologically particular Arctic char populaces raised in a typical incubator climate utilizing 27 morphometric factors and the majority of the complete variety was made sense of by the general body power, aspects of the head and caudal peduncle length. Subsequent to controlling for a body size, critical heterogeneity in body shape was found among populaces. These report identified huge morphometric contrasts among the populaces of Mesopotamian Spiny Eel, *mastacembelus*, while meristic qualities didn't vary in three populaces.

Balasch Joa.n Carles and Tort Lluís (2019) [²]. Techniques for contrasting natural structures range from exemplary verbal and pictorial portrayals, strategies including the following of frameworks arrangements of estimated direct distances between sets of recognizable milestones on a life form and more current techniques including the mathematical areas of tourist spots. Multivariate examination of a bunch of phenotypic

characters is viewed as a strong procedure for the assurance of morphological connections between the populaces of an animal groups.

Arzegar, R., Moghaddam, A., Deo, R., Fijani, E. and Tziritis, E. (2018) [³], assessed the morphometric separation among fish populaces by utilizing Truss framework. Cavalcanti *et al.*, (1999) performed Landmark based Morphometric Analysis in Selected Species of *Serranid* Fishes. Fitzgerald (2002) assessed the use of support examination for the measurement of changes in fish condition. Silva (2003) dealt with morphometric variety among sardine (*Sardinapilchardus*) populaces from the north-eastern Atlantic and the western Mediterranean.

Objectives of the Study

My study aim is addressed through four research objectives using a variety of exploratory and analytical techniques incorporating both desk and field-based study. These objectives, outlined below:

- To explore, assess and document the fish diversity of Kolleru Lake in Andhra Pradesh.
- To determine the influence of habitat in structuring assemblage of the fishes listed as threatened in Kolleru Lake in Andhra Pradesh.
- Truss based multivariate discrimination of selected species of genus based on morphometric variation Kolleru Lake in Andhra Pradesh.
- To generate the best set of characters for group separation based on multivariate technique Kolleru Lake in Andhra Pradesh.

RESULTS & DISCUSSIONS

Table 1: Profiling of Risk Factors Associated with Decreased Fish Population in Kolleru Lake in Andhra Pradesh during the year of December, 2020 to December, 2021

| S. No | Family Angillidae | Account of the Fishes of the Koleru Lake | Percent of Fishes of the Koleru Lake |
|-------|-------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | Family I | Clupeidae | 14(14%) |
| 2 | Family II | Notopteridae | 12(12%) |
| 3 | Family III | Crprinidae | 10(10%) |
| 4 | Family IV | Cobitidae | 09(09%) |
| 5 | Family V | Bagridae | 09(0.9%) |
| 6 | Family VI | Siluridae | 07(07%) |
| 7 | Family VII | Schilbeidae | 08(08%) |
| 8 | Family VIII | Claridae | 06(06%) |
| 9 | Family IX | Heteropneustidae | 06(06%) |
| 10 | Family X | Belonidae | 05(0.5%) |
| 11 | Family XI | Cyprinodontidae | 04(04%) |

¹Report of Lakes in India (February12, 2022)
²Balasch Joa.n Carles and Tort Lluís (2019). Netting the Stress Responses in Fish. *Frontiers in Endocrinology*, 10, 62. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2019.00062
³ Arzegar, R., Moghaddam, A., Deo, R., Fijani, E. and Tziritis, E. (2018) Mapping Groundwater Contamination

Risk of Multiple Aquifers Using a Multi-Model Ensemble of Machine Learning Algorithms. *Science of the Total Environment*, 621C, 697-712. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.185>

| S. No | Family Angillidae | Account of the Fishes of the Koleru Lake | Percent of Fishes of the Koleru Lake |
|--------------|-------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| 12 | Family XII | Channidae | 05(05%) |
| 13 | Family XIII | Synbranchidae | 05(05%) |
| Total | | | 100(100%) |

Source: Primary Data

The Kolleru Lake is one of the very important freshwater lakes and National wetlands of India. Many riverine important edible fishes utilize this wetland for their breeding grounds. The presence of *juvenile* specimens of almost all the fishes especially the carps in this lake indicates that these fishes use this water body as their spawning grounds. Since this lake is distantly connected with the Kolleru Lake through river often

some marine fishes are also found in the fish *fauna* of this lake. Among the important commercial fishes of this lake *Anabas* above mentioned families are abundantly found throughout the year. This lake also has been found to contain 13 endemic species of our Lake. This species is found only in the two important south Indian waters of the Godavari and Krishna.

Table 2: Indices of Diversity Biodiversity in Kolleru Lake in Andhra Pradesh, during the year of December, 2020 to December, 2021

| S.No | Family of Diversity Biodiversity in Kolleru Lake in Andhra Pradesh | Total Species | % of Species |
|--------------|--|------------------|------------------|
| 1 | Family I in Kolleru Lake Biodiversity Species In Andhra Pradesh | Clupeidae | 16(16%) |
| 2 | Family II in Kolleru Lake Species of Biodiversity Species In Andhra Pradesh | Notopteridae | 15(15%) |
| 3 | Family III in Kolleru Lake Species of Biodiversity Species In Andhra Pradesh | Crprinidae | 14(14%) |
| 4 | Family IV in Kolleru Lake Species of Biodiversity Species In Andhra Pradesh | Cobitidae | 14(14%) |
| 5 | Family V in Kolleru Lake Species of Biodiversity Species In Andhra Pradesh | Bagridae | 13(13%) |
| 6 | Family VI in Kolleru Lake Species of Biodiversity Species In Andhra Pradesh | Siluridae | 12(12%) |
| 7 | Family VII in Kolleru Lake Species of Biodiversity Species In Andhra Pradesh | Schilbeidae | 12(12%) |
| 8 | Family VIII in Kolleru Lake Species Biodiversity Species In Andhra Pradesh | Clariidae | 13(13%) |
| 9 | Family IX in Kolleru Lake Species Biodiversity Species In Andhra Pradesh | Heteropneustidae | 14(14%) |
| 10 | Family X in Kolleru Lake Species Biodiversity Species In Andhra Pradesh | Belonidae | 14(14%) |
| 11 | Family XI in Kolleru Lake Species Biodiversity Species In Andhra Pradesh | Cyprinodontidae | 12(12%) |
| 12 | Family XII in Kolleru Lake Species Biodiversity Species In Andhra Pradesh | Channidae | 12(12%) |
| 13 | Family XIII in Kolleru Lake Species Biodiversity Species In Andhra Pradesh | Synbranchidae | 11(11%) |
| Total | | | 100(100%) |

Source: Primary Data

The similarity in species composition among different sampling sites of the river was analysed for calculating the extent of similarity between pairs of data sets. In river, the similarity in species composition in Thirteen verities is shown as an if fish family's. Here, we observed greatest similarity between sites (I to XIII Family's). The evenness index was calculated between the rivers to express how evenly the individuals are distributed among the different species (Table .2). The evenness index varied from 11% to 16%, the highest evenness index was found at site Ist family, while the lowest was found at XIII.

My Study Proposes the Following Remedies to Preserve Freshwater Biodiversity:

- Ensuring that Kolleru Lake have the water that they need, when they need it to help local sea-going networks and at the same time meet fundamental human necessities.
- Improving water quality through a guarantee to diminishing toxins at their source, advancing the utilization of nature as a channel, and putting resources into adequate wastewater treatment.
- Protecting and reestablishing basic territories in manners that perceive the exceptional elements of

freshwater frameworks as well as their coordination inside bigger scenes.

- Managing abuse of freshwater species as well as extraction of sand and rock through better guideline and implementation.

CONCLUSIONS

The proposed waterway connecting venture of India is a most aggressive arrangement. There is need to imagine numerous important issues of the feasible oceanic biodiversity protection of the fish fauna of the Kolleru Lake. The ideas like water and amphibian asset protection, best guideline of existing offices, water reaping, watershed and stream bowl the executives, water reuse and so on will keep on being profoundly applicable will be a significant enhancement to this (Jain *et al.*, 2005). The overall model recommends that it is unobtrusively challenging to foresee definitively whether the execution of proposed interlinking ventures will be gainful or unsafe to nature and normal assets, involves banter. The suitable current innovation and conditions should be followed in view of the natural prerequisites/conduct of fishes and other sea-going life forms with their resilience limit. In the current review the

standard data on the situation with variety, species creation, overflow, lavishness, conveyance, ID of need living space qualities of the waterway would assist with consolidating sufficient control measure on the antagonistic impacts from the venture arranging stage to different progressive phases and could be valuable to evaluate the degree of species and environment change/misfortune in the wake of interlinking of Koleru streams. It is normal that this study will be useful for dynamic apparatus for the appraisal of variables connected with proposed interlinking and protection and the executives of fish, nature and biodiversity. Today, many freshwater fish are near termination in India. Upkeep of freshwater fish biodiversity is a basic trial of whether water use and environment changes are maintainable. A large number of the basic biodiversity rich regions are now profiting from purposeful endeavour's to ration their risked freshwater life. The review introduced here contends that deliberate endeavour's to safeguard the key watershed regions in freshwater reverine regions will make a critical commitment to the protection of the India's freshwater biodiversity. The biodiversity situation in the present status which are profiled here, offer numerous chances to safeguard the rich freshwater fish biodiversity. Achievement will rely upon the degree to which progressives, water commissions, organizations, and districts work agreeably in these spots to keep up with or re-establish regular water environments of the freshwater assets. To safeguard freshwater species and frameworks, there is a dire need to counter the dangers that risk their endurance.

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Tawwab, M., Monier, M. N., Hoseinifar, S. H., & Faggio, C. (2019). Fish response to hypoxia stress: growth, physiological, and immunological biomarkers. *Fish physiology and biochemistry*, 45, 997-1013.
- Arzegar, R., Moghaddam, A., Deo, R., Fijani, E., & Tziritis, E. (2018) Mapping Groundwater Contamination Risk of Multiple Aquifers Using a Multi-Model En-semble of Machine Learning Algorithms. *Science of the Total Environment*, 621C, 697-712. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.185>
- Balash, J. C., & Tort, L. (2019). Netting the stress responses in fish. *Frontiers in Endocrinology*, 10, 62.
- Barat, A., Sahoo, P. K., Kumar, R., Goel, C., & Singh, A. K. (2016). Transcriptional response to heat shock in liver of snow trout (*Schizothorax richardsonii*)—a vulnerable Himalayan Cyprinid fish. *Functional & integrative genomics*, 16, 203-213.
- Barman, R. P. (2004). The fishes of the kolleru lake, Andhra Pradesh, India with comments on their conservation. *Records of the Zoological Survey of India*, 83-89.
- Davidson, N. C. (2014). How much wetland has the world lost? Long-term and recent trends in global wetland area. *Marine and Freshwater Research*, 65(10), 934-941.
- Eissa, N., & Wang, H. P. (2016). Transcriptional stress responses to environmental and husbandry stressors in aquaculture species. *Reviews in Aquaculture*, 8(1), 61-88.
- FAO (2018). The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2018 – Meeting the Sustainable Development Goals. The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
- Faught, E., Aluru, N., & Vijayan, M. M. (2016). The Molecular Stress Response. In *Fish Physiology - Biology of Stress in Fish*, Vol. 35 (eds. C. B. Schreck, L. Tort, A. P. Farrell and C. J. Brauner), San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Froese, R., & Pauly, D. (Eds), (2009). FishBase. World Wide Web electronic publication. <http://www.fishbase.org>, version (last accessed on 10 December 2010).
- Gupta, B. K., Sarkar, U. K., & Bhardwaj, S. K. (2012). Assessment of habitat quality with relation to fish assemblages in an impacted river of the Ganges basin, northern India. *Environmentalist*, 32(1), 35-47.
- Galat, D. L., & Zweimuller, I. (2001). Conservation large-river fishes: is the highway analogy an appropriate paradigm? *J. N. Am. Benthol. Soc.*, 20, 266-279.
- Hassan, Z. U., Shah, J. A., Kanth, T. A., & Pandit, A. K. (2015). Influence of land use/land cover on the water chemistry of Wular Lake in Kashmir Himalaya (India). *Ecological Processes*, 4, 1-11.
- Hu, Y., Qi, S., Wu, C., Ke, Y., Chen, J., Chen, W., & Gong, X. (2012). Preliminary assessment of heavy metal contamination in surface water and sediments from Honghu Lake, East Central China. *Frontiers of Earth Science*, 6, 39-47.
- Ikhane, P. R., Akintola, A. I., Bankole, S. I., Oyebolu, O. O., & Ogunlana, E. O. (2013). Granulometric analysis and heavy mineral studies of the sandstone facies exposed near Igbile, southwestern Nigeria. *International Research Journal of Geology and Mining (IRJGM)*(2276-6618), 3(4), 158-178.
- IUCN, (2002). IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK: IUCN, Available online at: <http://www.iucnredlist.org>.
- James, V., Morrow, Jr., & Fischenich, C. (2000). Habitat Requirements for Freshwater Fishes. ERDC TN-EMRRP-SR-06, 1-14.
- Kar, D., & Barbhuiya, M. H. (2004). Abundance and diversity of zooplankton in Chatla Haor, a floodplain wetland in Cachar district of Assam. *Environment and ecology*, 22(1), 247-248.
- Karaoglu, H., & Belduz, A. O. (2011). Multivariate discrimination among three *Trachurus* species from

- Turkey. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*, 10(1), 121-127.
- Lakra, W. S., & Sarkar, U. K. (2010). NBFGR-Marching ahead in cataloguing and conserving fish genetic resources of India. *Fishing Chimes*, 30(1), 102-107.
 - Locke, S. A., Daniel McLaughlin, J., & Marcogliese, D. J. (2010). DNA barcodes show cryptic diversity and a potential physiological basis for host specificity among Diplostomoidea (Platyhelminthes: Digenea) parasitizing freshwater fishes in the St. Lawrence River, Canada. *Molecular Ecology*, 19(13), 2813-2827.
 - Mekkawy, I. A., & Mohammad, A. S. (2011). Morphometrics and meristics of the three Epinepheline species: *Cephalopholis argus* (Bloch and Schneider, 1801), *Cephalopholis miniata* (Forsskal, 1775) and *Variola louti* (Forsskal, 1775) from the red sea, Egypt.
 - Sen, N., (1982). Studies on the systematics, distribution and ecology of the Ichthyofauna of Meghalaya and their bearing on the fish and fisheries of the state. Ph D Thesis, University of Gauhati, Assam, 576. Tuya, F., Wernberg, T., & Thomsen, M. S. (2009). Habitat structure affects abundances of labrid fishes across temperate reefs in south-western Australia. *Environ. Biol. Fish*, 86, 311–319.
 - Singh, A. K., Pathak, A. K., & Lakra, W. S. (2010). Invasion of an exotic fish—common carp, *Cyprinus carpio* L.(Actinopterygii: Cypriniformes: Cyprinidae) in the Ganga River, India and its impacts. *Acta Ichthyologica et Piscatoria*, 40(1), 11-19.
 - Turak, E., & Linke, S. (2011). Freshwater conservation planning: an introduction. *Freshw. Biol*, 56, 1–5
 - Umamaheswari, S., & Saravanan, N. A. (2009). Water quality of Cauvery River basin in Trichirappalli, India. *Int. J. Lakes Rivers*, 2(1), 1-20.
 - Vishwanath, W., & Linthoingambi, I., (2006). A new sisorid catfish of the genus *Glyptothorax* Blyth from Manipur, India. *J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc*, 102, 201–203.
 - Vishwanath, W., Lakra, W. S., & Sarkar, U. K. (2007). *Fishes of North East India*. National Bureau of Fish Genetic Resources, Indian Council of Agricultural Research.

Cite This Article: Deepthi Gajula & S. P. Jeya Priya (2024). Identification and Profiling of Risk Factors Associated with Decreased Fish Population and Biodiversity: A Case Study of Kolleru Lake in Andhra Pradesh (During the Year of December, 2020 to December, 2021). *East African Scholars J Agri Life Sci*, 7(12), 187-192.
