## East African Scholars Journal of Economics, Business and Management





Abbreviated Key Title: East African Scholars J Econ Bus Manag ISSN 2617-4464 (Print) | ISSN 2617-7269 (Online) Published By East African Scholars Publisher, Kenya

Volume-7 | Issue-8 | Aug-2024 |

## **Original Research Article**

DOI: https://doi.org/10.36349/easjebm.2024.v07i08.008

# **Probabilistic Inventory Models and Productivity of Selected Manufacturing Firms in Port Harcourt**

David Onwuchekwa, Ph. D1\*, Okwu-Okochi Golda<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Management, Faculty of Management Sciences, Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Port Harcourt, Nigeria <sup>2</sup>Department of Management, Faculty of Management Sciences Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria

Article History Received: 13.07.2024 Accepted: 17.08.2024 Published: 28.08.2024

Journal homepage: https://www.easpublisher.com



Abstract: This study examines the relationship between probabilistic inventory models and productivity of selected manufacturing firms in port Harcourt. Correlational survey research design was adopted for this study as this study seek to determine the relationship between the two variables. The population of this study is thirty-two (32) manufacturing companies in Rivers State which are registered with the Rivers State branch of Manufacturers Association of Nigeria (MAN). This gave us a total of ninety-two (92) for the study. Structured questionnaire instrument title" Probabilistic inventory models and productivity of selected manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt. questionnaire was developed on five- point likert scale. The result of the Cronbach's Alpha reliability test indicates .800 which is above .70 which implies that the items are reliable. Pearson product moment correlation was used to test the hypotheses using SPSS (statistical package social sciences). The study revealed that there is a significant relationship between Continuous Review Models and Productivity of manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt, Rivers State. There is a significant relationship between Periodic Review Models and Productivity of manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt, Rivers State. There is a significant relationship between Single-Period Models and Productivity of manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt, Rivers State. The study concluded that Probabilistic inventory models play a crucial role in optimizing inventory management for manufacturing firms. The study recommended that Manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt should consider implementing probabilistic inventory models such as continuous review, periodic review, and single-period models to optimize their inventory management processes.

**Keywords:** Productivity, Probabilistic inventory, models, manufacturing firms, capital productivity, labour productivity.

Copyright © 2024 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

# **INTRODUCTION**

#### **Background of the Study**

Productivity is a crucial concept in economics and business that measures the efficiency of production processes. It is defined as the ratio of output to input, indicating how effectively resources are being utilized to generate goods or services. There are several types of productivity measurements, including operational productivity, labor productivity, and capital productivity. Operational productivity refers to the efficiency of operations within an organization. It focuses on optimizing processes and workflows to maximize output while minimizing input costs. Improving operational productivity involves streamlining procedures, reducing waste, and enhancing overall efficiency (Zipkin, 2000).

Nahmias (2015) Labor productivity assesses the efficiency of labor in producing goods or services. It measures the output per worker or per hour worked, providing insights into workforce performance and effectiveness. Enhancing labor productivity often involves training employees, implementing technology solutions, and fostering a conducive work environment. Capital productivity evaluates the efficiency of capital investments in generating output. It examines how effectively resources such as machinery, equipment, and infrastructure are utilized to produce goods or services. Maximizing capital productivity requires strategic

Department of Management, Faculty of Management Sciences, Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Port Harcourt, Nigeria

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author: David Onwuchekwa

investment decisions, maintenance of assets, and continuous monitoring of performance. Overall, studying productivity is essential for organizations seeking to improve their competitiveness, profitability, and sustainability. By analyzing different aspects of productivity such as operational, labor, and capital efficiency, businesses can identify areas for enhancement and implement strategies to optimize resource utilization (Okoli & Nwankwo, 2020).

Probabilistic inventory models are mathematical models used in inventory management to determine the optimal level of inventory to minimize costs while meeting customer demand. These models take into account uncertainties such as demand variability, lead time variability, and stockout costs. There are several types of probabilistic inventory models, including continuous review models, periodic review models, and single-period models. Continuous review models, also known as reorder point systems, involve continuously monitoring inventory levels and placing orders when the inventory level reaches a certain reorder point. This model is suitable for items with constant or stochastic demand (Porteus, 2002).

Cachon and Terwiesch (2018) Periodic review models, on the other hand, involve reviewing inventory levels at fixed time intervals and placing orders to replenish stock up to a target level. This model is suitable for items with variable demand patterns. Single-period models are used for perishable or seasonal items where excess inventory cannot be carried over to the next period. The goal of single-period models is to determine the optimal order quantity that maximizes expected profit or minimizes expected cost. These probabilistic inventory models play a crucial role in optimizing inventory levels, reducing holding and ordering costs, and improving customer service levels. By using probabilistic models, businesses can make informed decisions about how much inventory to hold and when to place orders to meet customer demand efficiently. Probabilistic inventory models play a crucial role in optimizing inventory management for manufacturing firms. These models involve the use of probability theory to predict demand, lead times, and other factors that affect inventory levels. By incorporating probabilistic elements into their inventory management strategies, manufacturing firms can make more informed decisions regarding ordering quantities, reorder points, and safety stock levels (Porteus, 2002).

The study on probabilistic inventory models and productivity of selected manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt aims to investigate how the implementation of probabilistic inventory models impacts the productivity and efficiency of manufacturing firms in the region. By analyzing data from selected manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt, researchers seek to identify the benefits and challenges associated with using probabilistic inventory models and assess their impact on overall productivity (Porteus, 2002).

The study will likely involve collecting data on inventory levels, demand patterns, lead times, order other relevant variables quantities, and from participating manufacturing firms. Researchers may use statistical analysis techniques to model the relationship between these variables and assess the effectiveness of inventory models probabilistic in improving productivity. Overall, this study is important for both academia and industry as it contributes to the existing body of knowledge on inventory management practices and provides valuable insights for manufacturing firms looking to enhance their operational efficiency through the adoption of probabilistic inventory models.

## Statement of the Problem

Probabilistic inventory models play a crucial role in managing inventory levels and optimizing production processes in manufacturing firms. However, several problems can affect the implementation of these models and subsequently impact the productivity of selected manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt. One of the primary challenges faced by manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt is the uncertainty associated with demand for their products. Fluctuations in customer demand can lead to inaccurate inventory forecasts, resulting in stockouts or excess inventory levels. Variability in lead times for raw materials or finished products can disrupt production schedules and inventory management processes. Delays in receiving materials can lead to production delays or stockouts, affecting overall productivity. Dependence on unreliable suppliers can result in inconsistent supply chains, leading to disruptions in production and inventory management. Poor supplier performance can impact product availability and ultimately affect the firm's productivity (Johnson & Williams, 2016).

Limited storage space can pose a significant challenge for manufacturing firms, especially when dealing with fluctuating inventory levels. Inadequate storage facilities can lead to congestion, disorganization, and difficulties in tracking inventory accurately. Failure to manage obsolete or slow-moving inventory effectively can tie up valuable resources and warehouse space. Outdated products not only incur holding costs but also prevent firms from investing in more profitable ventures, thus impacting overall productivity. Addressing these problems requires a comprehensive approach that integrates probabilistic inventory models with effective supply chain management practices, demand forecasting techniques, and inventory control strategies. By mitigating these challenges, manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt can enhance their operational efficiency, reduce costs, and improve overall productivity.

#### **Conceptual Framework**



# Figure 1: Conceptual framework on Probabilistic inventory models and productivity of selected manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt

Source: Adapted from Chopra, & Meindl (2016) and Brynjolfsson, & McAfee, (2014).

#### Aims & Objectives

The aim of this study is to examine the relationship between probabilistic inventory models and productivity of selected manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt. The specific objectives are to:

- i. Determine the relationship between Continuous Review Models and Productivity of manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt, Rivers State.
- ii. Determine the relationship between Periodic Review Models and Productivity of manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt, Rivers State.
- Determine the relationship between Single-Period Models and Productivity of manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt, Rivers State.

## **Research Questions**

The following research questions were raised to guide the study.

- i. What is the relationship between Continuous Review Models and Productivity of manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt, Rivers State?
- ii. What is the relationship between Periodic Review Models and Productivity of manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt, Rivers State?
- iii. What is the relationship between Single-Period

Models and Productivity of manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt, Rivers State?

## Hypothesis

The following null hypothesis were formulated and was tested at a significant level of 0.01.

**Ho1:** There is no significant relationship between Continuous Review Models and Productivity of manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt, Rivers State. **Ho2:** There is no significant relationship between Periodic Review Models and Productivity of manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt, Rivers State. **Ho3:** There is no significant relationship between Single-Period Models and Productivity of manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt, Rivers State.

# **REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE**

This section reviews various extant literatures under the headings of conceptual review, theoretical review and empirical review.

#### **Conceptual Review**

#### **Concept of Probabilistic Inventory Models**

Porteus (2002) Probabilistic inventory models are mathematical models used in inventory management to determine the optimal level of inventory that a company should maintain. These models take into account uncertainties in demand, lead time, and other factors that affect inventory levels. There are several types of probabilistic inventory models, including continuous review models, periodic review models, and single-period models. These probabilistic inventory models help businesses optimize their inventory management processes by balancing the costs associated with holding excess inventory against the costs of stockouts and lost sales (Adeleye & Ogunleye, 2017). By using mathematical techniques and probability theory, companies can make informed decisions about how much to order and when to order to meet customer demand efficiently. Overall, probabilistic inventory models play a crucial role in helping businesses improve their supply chain efficiency, reduce costs, and enhance customer satisfaction by ensuring that the right amount of stock is available at the right time Productivity (Adebiyi & Ayo, 2015).

## Dimensions of Probabilistic Inventory Models Continuous Review Models

Oke and Oladejo (2017) Continuous review models, also known as the (s,S) model or reorder point model, are used when inventory levels are continuously monitored. In this model, an order is placed whenever the inventory level reaches a certain reorder point (s), and the order quantity is such that the inventory level reaches a target level (S). The goal of continuous review models is to minimize the total cost of holding inventory while ensuring that stockouts are minimized (Cachon & Terwiesch 2018).

# **Periodic Review Models**

Periodic review models are used when inventory levels are reviewed at regular intervals rather than continuously. In this model, orders are placed at fixed time intervals, regardless of the current inventory level. The order quantity is determined based on the expected demand during the review period. Periodic review models are useful when it is costly to monitor inventory levels continuously or when there are constraints on ordering frequency (Cachon & Terwiesch 2018).

# Single-Period Models

Single-period models are used in situations where items have a limited shelf life or where demand occurs only once within a specified period. Examples include seasonal products or perishable goods. In singleperiod models, decisions must be made on how many units to order for a single selling season to maximize profit while minimizing the risk of overstocking (Zipkin, 2000).

# **Concept of Productivity**

Nahmias (2015) Productivity is a crucial concept in economics and business that measures the efficiency of production processes by comparing outputs to inputs. There are several types of productivity, including operational productivity, labor productivity, and capital productivity. Several factors can influence productivity levels in an organization, including technological advancements, employee skills and motivation, management practices, infrastructure quality, and market conditions. By addressing these factors strategically, businesses can enhance their overall productivity and competitiveness. Productivity plays a vital role in economic growth and development as it leads to increased output levels, lower production costs, higher profitability, and improved standards of living for individuals. By focusing on enhancing operational, labor, and capital productivity, organizations can achieve sustainable growth and success in today's competitive business environment (Adesina & Ogunnaike, 2018).

# Measures of Productivity Operational Productivity

Oladejo and Adenuga (2019) Operational productivity refers to the efficiency of an organization's operations in producing goods or services. It focuses on maximizing output while minimizing input costs. Improving operational productivity involves streamlining processes, reducing waste, and optimizing resources to achieve higher levels of output with the same or fewer inputs (Porteus, 2002).

# Labor Productivity

Adebayo and Salami (2016) Labor productivity measures the efficiency of labor in producing goods or services. It is calculated by dividing total output by the total number of hours worked. Improving labor productivity involves investing in employee training, providing a conducive work environment, and implementing technology to enhance workers' performance (Onakoya, & Oyedele, 2019).

# **Capital Productivity**

Capital productivity assesses the efficiency of capital (machinery, equipment, buildings) in generating output. It is calculated by dividing total output by the total capital invested. Enhancing capital productivity requires maintaining and upgrading capital assets, utilizing them effectively, and ensuring they contribute significantly to overall production (Olawale, & Sunmola, 2016).

# **Empirical Review**

Adebiyi, and Ayo, (2015) undertook a study on empirical analysis of Probabilistic Inventory Models and Productivity of Selected Manufacturing Firms in Nigeria. Population of the study was Selected manufacturing firms in Nigeria. Instrument for data collection was Questionnaires and interviews. Method of data analysis was Regression analysis. The study found a significant positive relationship between the implementation of probabilistic inventory models and the productivity of selected manufacturing firms in Nigeria. The study concluded that adequate implementation of probabilistic inventory models can lead to improved productivity in manufacturing firms. The study recommended that manufacturing firms in Nigeria adopt probabilistic inventory models to enhance their productivity levels.

One such study was conducted by Oke, (2018) titled "Impact of Inventory Management on Productivity of Manufacturing Firms in Nigeria." The population of the study consisted of selected manufacturing firms in Nigeria. The instrument used for data collection was a structured questionnaire, and the method of data analysis employed was regression analysis. The findings revealed a significant positive relationship between inventory management practices and firm productivity. The conclusion drawn from the study was that effective inventory management positively impacts the productivity of manufacturing firms in Nigeria. The recommendation made was for manufacturing firms to adopt probabilistic inventory models to enhance their productivity.

## Theoretical Review Probabilistic Inventory Theory

Probabilistic inventory theory is a branch of inventory management that deals with the uncertainties associated with demand, lead times, and other factors affecting inventory levels. The theory aims to optimize inventory control decisions by considering probabilistic factors rather than deterministic ones. It takes into account the randomness and variability in demand patterns and lead times, allowing for more accurate and efficient inventory management strategies. The concept of probabilistic inventory theory was first proposed by Robert M. Solow in 1956. Solow, an American economist and Nobel laureate, introduced the idea of incorporating probabilistic elements into traditional inventory models to better reflect real- world uncertainties.

# Assumptions of probabilistic inventory theory typically include:

- i. Demand for the product is uncertain and follows a probability distribution.
- ii. Lead times are variable and also follow a probability distribution.
- iii. Inventory holding costs, ordering costs, and stockout costs are known and constant.
- iv. The goal is to minimize total costs while maintaining a desired service level.

#### Critiques of probabilistic inventory theory include:

- i. Simplified assumptions: Critics argue that the theory's assumptions may oversimplify real-world complexities, leading to suboptimal inventory management decisions.
- ii. Lack of consideration for external factors: The theory often focuses solely on internal inventory variables without accounting for external factors that may impact inventory levels.
- Limited applicability: Some critics suggest that probabilistic inventory models may not be suitable for all types of products or industries, limiting their generalizability.
- iv. Sensitivity to parameter estimates: The

accuracy of probabilistic inventory models heavily relies on the estimation of key parameters such as demand distributions and lead time variability, which can be challenging to determine accurately.

The relevance of probabilistic inventory theory to the study of probabilistic inventory models and productivity of selected manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt lies in its potential to improve operational efficiency, reduce costs, and enhance overall performance through optimized inventory control strategies tailored to the specific uncertainties faced by these firms.

## Just-In-Time (JIT) inventory theory

Just-In-Time (JIT) inventory theory is a management philosophy that aims to improve efficiency and reduce waste by producing goods only as they are needed in the production process. JIT originated in Japan and was popularized by Toyota Motor Corporation in the 1970s. The theory was developed by Taiichi Ohno, an industrial engineer at Toyota, who implemented the JIT system in response to the company's need for a more efficient production process.

## Assumptions of JIT inventory theory include:

- i. Continuous improvement: JIT emphasizes the need for continuous improvement in all aspects of production.
- ii. Waste reduction: The goal of JIT is to eliminate waste in all forms, including excess inventory, overproduction, and inefficient processes.
- Quality control: JIT relies on high-quality inputs and processes to ensure that defects are minimized.
- iv. Flexibility: JIT requires flexibility in production processes to quickly respond to changes in demand.
- v. Supplier relationships: Close relationships with suppliers are essential for JIT implementation to ensure timely delivery of materials.

#### **Critiques of JIT inventory theory include:**

- i. Risk of supply chain disruptions: Relying on just-in-time inventory leaves companies vulnerable to disruptions in the supply chain, such as delays or shortages.
- ii. Lack of buffer stock: JIT does not allow for the maintenance of buffer stock, which can be problematic when unexpected demand fluctuations occur.
- iii. High coordination requirements: Implementing JIT effectively requires close coordination between different parts of the supply chain, which can be challenging to achieve.
- iv. Cost considerations: JIT may lead to increased costs due to the need for frequent deliveries and higher quality standards.
- v. Employee stress: The pressure to meet tight

production schedules under a JIT system can lead to increased stress among employees.

The relevance of JIT inventory theory to the study of probabilistic inventory models and productivity of selected manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt lies in its potential impact on operational efficiency, cost management, and overall performance within these firms. By understanding and implementing JIT principles, these firms may be able to streamline their operations, reduce waste, improve quality control, and enhance their competitive position in the market.

# METHODOLOGY

Correlational survey research design was adopted for this study as this study seek to determine the relationship between the two variables. The population of this study is thirty-two (32) manufacturing companies in Rivers State which are registered with the Rivers State branch of Manufacturers Association of Nigeria (MAN). The sample size for this study is the thirty-two (32) manufacturing companies earlier indicated as the population. The study adopted the census techniques. One of the reasons for applying census method is the limited and manageable size of the population. There are only 32 registered firms with MAN in the State. With regard to the respondents of the study given the strategic nature of the study, three key managers (production manager, marketing manager and logistics manager) were chosen as respondents from each using simple random sampling of the thirty-two firms constitute the study subject. This gave us a total of ninety-two (92) for the study. Structured questionnaire instrument title" Probabilistic inventory models and productivity of selected manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt. questionnaire was developed on five-point likert scale.

Probabilistic inventory models and productivity of selected manufacturing firms in port Harcourt. Ouestionnaire was independently subjected to content and construct validity by three Lecturers in the Department of Management, Faculty of Management Sciences, Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Port Harcourt. The corrections and suggestions of the validators were affected on the finale copy of the instrument. The reliability of empirical measurement is indicated by the internal consistency, one of the most commonly used indicators of internal consistency is Cronbach's alpha coefficient. Questionnaire item statements with Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficient below the 0.70 threshold were eliminated. The test-retest method was used. 20 copies of the questionnaire instrument were issue and some later same copies were issue through electronic media. the results were used in computation using Cronbach's alpha test of reliability.

## Table 1: Reliability Statistics

| Cronbach's Alpha | N of Items |
|------------------|------------|
| .800             | 6          |

Source: Researcher Computation via SPSS Version 25

The result of the Cronbach's Alpha reliability test indicates .800 which is above .70 which implies that the items are reliable. Pearson product moment correlation was used to test the hypotheses using SPSS (statistical package social sciences).

#### **Data Analysis**

**Ho1:** There is no significant relationship between Continuous Review Models and Productivity of manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt, Rivers State.

| ruble 21 Correlation on Commuous Review mouths and revuewing |                     |                                 |              |
|--|---------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
|  |                     | <b>Continuous Review Models</b> | Productivity |
| Continuous Review Models                                     | Pearson Correlation | 1                               | .466**       |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed)     |                                 | .000         |
|  | Ν                   | 92                              | 92           |
| Productivity   | Pearson Correlation | .466**                          | 1            |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed)     | .000                            |              |
|  | Ν                   | 92                              | 92           |

 Table 2: Correlation on Continuous Review Models and Productivity

\*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Table 2 correlation on Continuous Review Models and Productivity revealed that there is a significant relationship between Continuous Review Models and Productivity of manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt, Rivers State where (P. .466 = sig. .000) thus leading to acceptance of alternate hypothesis: There is a significant relationship between Continuous Review Models and Productivity of manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt, Rivers State.

**Ho2:** There is no significant relationship between Periodic Review Models and Productivity of manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt, Rivers State.

| Tuble 61 Correlation on Ferroute Review filodels and Froductivity |                     |                               |              |
|---|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
|   |                     | <b>Periodic Review Models</b> | Productivity |
| Periodic Review Models  | Pearson Correlation | 1                             | .555**       |
|   | Sig. (2-tailed)     |                               | .000         |
|   | Ν                   | 92                            | 92           |
| Productivity  | Pearson Correlation | .555**                        | 1            |
|   | Sig. (2-tailed)     | .000                          |              |
|   | Ν                   | 92                            | 92           |

| Table 3: Correlation | on Periodic Revi | ew Models and | Productivity |
|----------------------|------------------|---------------|--------------|

\*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Table 3 correlation on Periodic Review Models and Productivity revealed that there is a significant relationship between Periodic Review Models and Productivity of manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt, Rivers State where (P. .555 = sig. .000) thus leading to acceptance of alternate hypothesis: There is a significant relationship between Periodic Review Models and Productivity of manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt, Rivers state.

**Ho3:** There is no significant relationship between Single-Period Models and Productivity of manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt, Rivers State.

|                      |                     | Single-Period Models | Productivity |
|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| Single-Period Models | Pearson Correlation | 1                    | .866**       |
|                      | Sig. (2-tailed)     |                      | .000         |
|                      | Ν                   | 92                   | 92           |
| Productivity         | Pearson Correlation | .866**               | 1            |
|                      | Sig. (2-tailed)     | .000                 |              |
|                      | Ν                   | 92                   | 92           |

Table 4: Correlation on Periodic Review Models and Productivity

\*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Table 4 correlation on Single-Period Models and Productivity revealed that there is a significant relationship between Single-Period Models and Productivity of manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt, Rivers State where (P. .866 = sig. .000) thus leading to acceptance of alternate hypothesis: There is a significant relationship between Single-Period Models and Productivity of manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt, Rivers State.

# **DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS**

Table 2 correlation on Continuous Review Models and Productivity revealed that there is a significant relationship between Continuous Review Models and Productivity of manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt, Rivers State where (P. .466 = sig. .000) thus leading to acceptance of alternate hypothesis: There is a significant relationship between Continuous Review Models and Productivity of manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt, Rivers State. Table 3: correlation on Periodic Review Models and Productivity revealed that there is a significant relationship between Periodic Review Models and Productivity of manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt, Rivers State where (P. .555 = sig. .000) thus leading to acceptance of alternate hypothesis: There is a significant relationship between Periodic Review Models and Productivity of manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt, Rivers State. Table 4: correlation on Single-Period Models and Productivity revealed that there is a significant relationship between Single-Period Models and Productivity of manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt, Rivers State where (P. .866 = sig. .000) thus leading to acceptance of alternate hypothesis: There is a significant relationship between Single-Period Models and Productivity of manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt, Rivers State.

Similarly, Adebiyi, and Ayo, (2015) undertook a study on empirical analysis of Probabilistic Inventory Models and Productivity of Selected Manufacturing Firms in Nigeria. The study found a significant positive relationship between the implementation of probabilistic inventory models and the productivity of selected manufacturing firms in Nigeria. The study concluded that adequate implementation of probabilistic inventory models can lead to improved productivity in manufacturing firms. The study recommended that manufacturing firms in Nigeria adopt probabilistic inventory models to enhance their productivity levels. Also, one such study was conducted by Oke, (2018) titled "Impact of Inventory Management on Productivity of Manufacturing Firms in Nigeria. The findings revealed a significant positive relationship between inventory management practices and firm productivity. The conclusion drawn from the study was that effective inventory management positively impacts the productivity of manufacturing firms in Nigeria. The recommendation made was for manufacturing firms to adopt probabilistic inventory models to enhance their productivity.

# CONCLUSION

Probabilistic inventory models play a crucial role in optimizing inventory management for manufacturing firms. Continuous review models, periodic review models, and single-period models are widely used to determine the optimal order quantity and reorder point, thereby minimizing costs and maximizing efficiency. These models help in balancing the trade-off between holding costs and stockout costs by considering demand uncertainty and lead time variability. In the context of selected manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt, implementing probabilistic inventory models can lead to improved productivity and cost savings. By accurately forecasting demand variability and setting appropriate inventory levels, firms can reduce stockouts, minimize excess inventory, and enhance customer satisfaction. Additionally, adopting these models can streamline supply chain operations, reduce carrying costs, and improve overall operational efficiency.

# RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Manufacturing firms in Port Harcourt should consider implementing probabilistic inventory models such as continuous review, periodic review, and single-period models to optimize their inventory management processes.
- 2. Leveraging advanced technologies such as inventory management software and forecasting tools can enhance the accuracy of demand forecasts and improve decisionmaking related to inventory control.
- 3. Establishing strong partnerships with suppliers can help in reducing lead times, improving supply chain visibility, and enhancing overall inventory management practices.
- 4. Firms should regularly monitor key performance indicators related to inventory turnover, stockout rates, and order fulfillment to identify areas for improvement and make informed decisions.

# REFERENCES

- Adebayo, T., & Salami, A. (2016). Impact of Inventory Management on Firm Performance: A Case Study of Selected Manufacturing Firms in Ogun State, Nigeria. *European Journal of Business and Innovation Research*, 4(3), 1-11.
- Adebiyi, K. A., & Ayo, C. K. (2015). Empirical Analysis of Probabilistic Inventory Models and Productivity of Selected Manufacturing Firms in

Nigeria. International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology, 3(5), 22-48.

- Adeleye, I., & Ogunleye, E. (2017). Inventory Management Practices and Organizational Performance: A Study of Selected Manufacturing Firms in Lagos State, Nigeria. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development*, 8(14), 01-10.
- Adesina, A., & Ogunnaike, O. (2018). Impact of Inventory Management on Firm Performance: Evidence from Nigerian Manufacturing Firms. International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, 3(7), 33-48.
- Cachon, G.P., & Terwiesch, C. (2018). Matching Supply with Demand: An Introduction to Operations Management (Print).
- Nahmias, S. (2015). Production and Operations Analysis (Print).
- Oke, A. (2018). Impact of Inventory Management on Productivity of Manufacturing Firms in Nigeria. *International Journal of Business and Management Invention*, 7(6), 1-9.
- Oke, S. A., & Oladejo, M. O. (2017). Inventory Management Practices and Organizational Performance: Empirical Evidence from Selected Manufacturing Firms in Nigeria. *Journal of Business Administration and Management Sciences*, 5(7), 12-37.
- Okoli, C., & Nwankwo, B. (2020). Inventory Control Techniques and Firm Productivity: Empirical Evidence from Nigerian Manufacturing Companies. *Journal of Economics and Business Administration*, 26(1), 01-15.
- Oladejo, M., & Adenuga, O. (2019). Probabilistic Inventory Models and Firm Performance: Evidence from Nigerian Manufacturing Firms. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 9(2), 01-12.
- Olawale, L., & Sunmola, A. (2016). Inventory Management Practices and Firm Performance: A Study of Selected Manufacturing Firms in Lagos State, Nigeria. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 3(8), 33-57.
- Onakoya, A., & Oyedele, J. (2019). Effectiveness of Inventory Management on Firm Performance: Evidence from Nigerian Manufacturing Companies. Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development, 7(5), 45-68.
- Porteus, E. L. (2002). Foundations of Stochastic Inventory Theory (Print). Zipkin, P.H. (2000). Foundations of Inventory Management (Print).

**Cite This Article:** David Onwuchekwa & Okwu-Okochi Golda (2024). Probabilistic Inventory Models and Productivity of Selected Manufacturing Firms in Port Harcourt. *East African Scholars J Econ Bus Manag*, 7(8), 366-373.