EAS Journal of Veterinary Medical Science

Abbreviated Key Title: EAS J Vet Med Sci ISSN: 2663-1881 (Print) & ISSN: 2663-7316 (Online) Published By East African Scholars Publisher, Kenya

Volume-4 | Issue-4 | Sept-Oct, 2022 |

Original Research Article

DOI: 10.36349/easjvms.2022.v04i04.003

OPEN ACCESS

Infectious Bursal Diseases in Sonali Chicken in Joypurhat District of Bangladesh

Mohammed Habibullah Belaly^{1*}, Md. Shajedur Rahman¹

¹Department of Medicine, Surgery and Obstetrics Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University, Dinajpur-5200, Bangladesh

Article History Received: 14.09.2022 Accepted: 25.10.2022 Published: 31.10.2022

Journal homepage: https://www.easpublisher.com



Abstract: The study was designed to investigate prevalence and risk factors of Infectious Bursal Disease (IBD) in Sonali chicken in Joypurhat District of Bangladesh. Twenty-five sonali chicken farms with sum of 33,735 birds of various age groups from five different upazila were observed for Infectious Bursal Disease (IBD). The disease was diagnosed on the basis of history, clinical findings and postmortem lesions. The overall prevalence of IBD was 19.48% and mortality 5.85%. The highest prevalence 43.61% was significantly found (P<0.05) in Khetlal upazila and lowest 10.54% in kalai upazila. Chicken of 3 to 4 weeks age showed higher prevalence 21.68% than other age group. The prevalence was significantly higher in female 20.03% chicken than male 18.66%. Prevalence of IBD in sonali chicken was higher 46.15% in winter season and lowest 12.89% in rainy season. Vaccinated with AviPro® IB Ark (Elanco) significantly (P<0.05) reduces the prevalence and mortality rate of IBD. Prevalence was low in fumigated concrete farm. Treatment with a) Levofloxacine(10%) b) Vitamin-C (Ascorbic Acid-98%) c) Ph (Formic acid, Lactic acid, Propionic acid & HMTBa) d) Mollasses 5% e) Andopan significantly recovered the IBD infected chicken within 4±0.75 days. The necropsy findings of infected chicks revealed haemorrhages on thigh and breast muscles; enlarged, edematous, hyperemic and haemorrhagic Bursa of Fabricious followed by atrophy. In some cases, kidneys were found swollen. Therefore, it was concluded that susceptibility of chicks to IBD is influenced by its age, sex, season, vaccination and treatment with appropriate medicine have significant impact on Infectious Bursal Disease (IBD). Keywords: IBD, Sonali Chicken, Edematous, Hyperemic.

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

Bangladesh is highly populated country and growth of population is increasing very fast in comparison to its land size, as a result huge pressure is created on people's basic need. Our national economy mainly depends on agriculture. It has been estimated that in 1961 there were almost 4 billion domestic fowl whereas in 2019 there were almost 26 billion, a more that 6-fold increase in 60 years (Wilson RT, 2021). Livestock plays an important role as the back-bone of agriculture where about 80% people depend on agriculture for their livelihood. Agriculture sector contributes about 20% of the total national economy of Bangladesh (Hossain, 2012). The population growth rate gradually increasing in the world, but do not increasing landing capacity and proper sources of food. Poultry rearing is considered superior to the other

agricultural sector in Bangladesh because of the quick economic return in a relatively short period of time. Poultry industry in Bangladesh plays a vital role in the socio-economic system by contributing rural significantly on economic growth and simultaneously creating numerous employment opportunities. Poultry industry plays an important role in Bangladesh in fulfilling the protein demand of human (Islam et al., 2003). The commercial poultry production by the private sector is expanding rapidly in Bangladesh. This industry can provide opportunities for the increase of Gross Domestic Product (GDP) growth rate through ensuring food security and self-employment, and finally reducing poverty. Traditionally in Bangladesh, poultry rearing is one of the most important sources of income for rural women especially for landless and marginal farmers (Badruzzaman et al., 2015). A total of 5 million

*Corresponding Author: Mohammed Habibullah Belaly

Department of Medicine, Surgery and Obstetrics Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University, Dinajpur-5200, Bangladesh

people are engaged in this sector (Saleque, 2006). Chicken meat is also relatively cheap and affordable source of animal protein at present chicken contributes 51% of total meat production in Bangladesh and per capita annual consumption of meat is 5.99 kg against the universal standard 80 kg per head (Begum et al., 2011 and Raha, 2007). According to WHO-FAO joint survey, meat consumption per head in Bangladesh is 15.23 kg per year and poultry contributes 35.25% of total meat supply (Akbar et al., 2013). The present population of poultry in Bangladesh is estimated to be 317.70 million including 266.07 million of chicken and 51.62 million of ducks (BBS, 2016). But Diseases are a cause of high economic losses to poultry farmers. In developing countries, poultry diseases are a cause of very large economic losses to poultry industry the high prevalence of diseases creates major constraints in the development of poultry sec-tor (Sharif et al., 2018). High mortality and morbidity of chicken due to various infectious and non-infectious diseases causing economic loss and discouraging poultry rearing (Das et al., 2005).

Among bacterial, viral, parasitic and fungal diseases, the outbreak of viral diseases can cause havoc to the poultry industry causing reduced meat and egg production. One of the important viral diseases is infectious bursal disease (IBD) (Bosha *et al.*, 2012). Infectious bursal disease is an acute, highly contagious, immunosuppressive and economically important poultry disease caused by Birnaviridae RNA virus. The disease damage the humoral immunity producing

lymphoid organ Bursa of Fabricius and result in immuno- suppression and increase susceptibility of poultry to opportunistic secondary infection such as Marek's disease and Newcastle disease (Lukert, 2003; Islam, 2005; Okwor et al., 2011, Chakma et al., 2015). Biswas et al. (2006) reported that about 28% mortality of Sonali chickens in southeastern coast of Bangladesh due to outbreak of several infectious diseases. Therefore, knowledge on infectious bursal disease epidemiology is necessary for to implement a successful prevention-control program at farm and farmer level. So, the present study focuses the prevalence of infectious bursal disease in Joypurhat district of Bangladesh with the following objectives to study the prevalence, mortality rate and risk factors of infectious bursal disease (IBD) in sonali chicken in Joypurhat district of Bangladesh.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was carried out at five upazila (Sadar, Pancbibi, Akkelpur, Kalai and Khetlal) in Joypurhat district of Bangladesh. The clinical and postmortem examinations were carried out for diagnosis of infectious bursal disease at farm and Field Disease Investigation Laboratory (FDIL), Joypurhat. Died birds brought by the farmer for diagnosis were examined.

Study Period

The study was carried out for a period of 12 months from April 2019 to March 2020.



Picture: Location of Study area on Bangladesh Map

Experimental Chicken

The chickens of different 21 large and small scale commercial sonali poultry farms about 33,735 birds were considered as experimental chickens.

Clinical Examination

- a. **History:** Previous and present history of farms was taken from each farmer. The following data was collected from the farmers: Name of farmer, name of area, total number of birds in farm, age, sex, season, vaccination status, daily mortality.
- b. **General Examination:** The preliminary general examination was carried out to observe any obvious abnormality, general condition of the chicken, condition of vent, feathers and diarrhea.
- c. **Postmortem examination:** The birds were examined and recorded the post-mortem findings as per the method described by Sharon (2002). The live birds were sacrificed according to standard animal care guidelines giving them minimum pain.

Technique

To expose the internal organs, the bird was laid on its back and each leg, in turn drawn outward away from the body while the skin was incised between the leg and abdomen on each side. Both legs were then grasped firmly in the area of the femur and bent forward, downward, and outward, until the heads of both femurs were broken free of the acetabular attachment so that both legs lied flat on the table. The skin was cut between the two previous incisions at a point midway between keel and vent. The cut edge was then forcibly reflected forward, cutting was necessary, until the entire ventral aspect of the body including the neck, was exposed. For exposing of the viscera, knife was used to cut through the abdominal wall transversely midway between the keel and vent, then through the breast muscle on each side. Positioning shears were used to cut first the rib cage, the coracoid and clavicle on both sides. With some care this was done without severing the large blood vessels. Thorough examination of the organs was done. The bursa of fabricious was located by opening the cloaca, laid on its distal side.

Infectious Bursal Disease (IBD)

The observed clinical signs of IBD were severe depression, in appetence, ruffled feather, vent pecking, trembling, limy diarrhea, severe prostration, and rise of body temperature. Whitish diarrhea, less water and feed intake, dehydration.

Gross lesion

Gross morbid lesions of different organs were observed after necropsy examination of the birds. Post mortem findings included hemorrhages in the thigh and pectoral muscles, swollen and enlarged bursa with blood and pus. Kidneys are enlarged and urate deposition in the tubules. Hemorrhage in line of proventiculus and gizzard.

Prevalence

Prevalence was calculated as number of cases of disease divided by population at risk and multiple by 100.

Morality (%) = No of dead birds Affected Population × 100

Statistical Analysis

The collected data was analyzed by a statistical software namely, SPSS version 24.0. Associations between explanatory variables (age, sex, breed and season) and prevalence were done by chi-square test. In all analysis, 95% confidence intervals and p<0.05 were set to indicate significance.





Figure 1: Postmortem signs of bursa

Post-mortem findings of Infectious Bursal Disease (A) normal bursa-no edematous swelling and hemorrhage, (B, C, D) swollen, hemorrhagic and edematous bursa.



Figure-2: Postmortem lesion gizzard and kidney

Hemorrhage in the thigh and pectoral muscles (E, F), Hemorrhages in the junction of proventiculus and gizzard (G, H).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The suspected chickens of infectious bursal disease (IBD) were subjected to postmortem examinations; the gross lesions were noted on different parts of body, especially on bursa of fabricious for confirmation of IBD. The gross pathological lesions were present on the following body parts. Carcass was dehydrated and darkened in color. Hemorrhages were present on the pectoral, leg and thigh muscles, kidneys and spleen were swollen. The principal lesions were found in the bursa of fabricious, it was swollen (inflamed); appeared edematous and hyperemic and has a gelatinous yellowish transudate. Hemorrhages and areas of necrosis were present in more severe cases. In prolonged cases the bursa of fabricious were atrophied as compared to hemorrhagic and normal bursa of fabricious.

According to the research work conducted in Joypurhat district, the total number of birds in 21 different poultry farms were 33735. The prevalence and mortality rate of IBD were 19.48%, and 5.85% respectively which is similar with the findings of Rahman *et al.*, (2010) who found 21%. The study is lower than the study of Rahman and Samad (2005) were the prevalence was 30% and it is higher than the study of Ahmed, (2009) who found 10% IBD. The mortality rate is similar with the findings of Lasher and Share (1994) found highest mortality rate 5%. Among

five upazila in Joypurhat district the highest prevalence 43.61% was seen in khetlal upazila followed by 20.09% in Akkelpu, 14.0% in Pachbibi, 12.85% in sadar and lowest 10.54% in kalai upazila respectively. The mortality rate was also higher in Khetlal and lower in Pachbibi upazila (Table-1).

| Upazila Name | No. of Farm | Total Birds | Affected Birds | Prevalence (%) | P- value | Dead Birds | Mortality (%) | P- value |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------|------------------|-------------|
| | Visited | | | | | | | |
| Sadar | 5 | 6032 | 775 | 12.85 | | 242 | 4.01 | |
| Pachbibi | 3 | 4562 | 639 | 14.00 | | 143 | 3.13 | |
| Akkelpur | 7 | 13080 | 2629 | 20.09 | | 547 | 4.18 | |
| Kalai | 4 | 5021 | 531 | 10.54 | < 0.05 | 197 | 3.92 | < 0.05 |
| Khetlal | 2 | 5040 | 2198 | 43.61 | | 846 | 16.78 | |
| Total | 21 | 33735 | 6772 | 19.48 | | 1975 | 5.85 | |

| Tal | ble 1: Pr | evalence a | nd mortality | rate o | f sonali c | hicken i | in Joypurha | at district | |
|-----|-----------|------------|--------------|--------|------------|----------|-------------|-------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | |

P<0.05 means statistically significant at 5% level of significance.

Age-Wise Prevalence of IBD in Sonali Chicken

The prevalence of IBD in sonali chicken was highest 21.68% in 3 to 4 weeks age group, moderate in 5 to 6 weeks age and lowest in 7 to 8 weeks age group. While no case was found in first 1^{st} to 2^{nd} weeks of age, and in above 7th week of age. Rajaonarison *et al.*, (1994) reported that IBD affected birds were 3 to 5 weeks old, the mortality rate ranged from 3.13-16.78%. This finding are similar with present study. Wyeth *et al.*, (2003) carried out studies on IBDV in great britian and examined that IBDV can infect some chicks as

young as 15 days old. In recent study no chick was found affected up to 12 days and thus five farms out of total 21 were affected at 15 days of age. Richard and Miles, (2004); Butcher, (2003) and Chettle *et al.*, (1999) examined that sub- clinical form of IBD or immunosuppression in chickens took placed in less than 3 weeks of age. We examined no sub- clinical form in two weeks old Sonalis. Das *et al.*, (2014) determined the outbreak of acute clinical IBD in sonali chicken farms in Bangladesh.

| Table 2: Age-wise prev | alence and mor | tality rate | of sonali chick | ken |
|------------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|-----|
| | | | | |

| Tuble 2. fige wise prevalence and mortanty fate of solian emeken | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|---------------|------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Age group | Total Bird | Affected Bird | Prevalence | P-value | Dead Bird | Mortality | P-value | | | |
| 3 to 4 week | 22130 | 4797 | 21.68 | | 1278 | 5.77 | | | | |
| 5 to 6 week | 10079 | 1789 | 17.75 | | 652 | 6.47 | | | | |
| 7 to 8 week | 1526 | 186 | 12.19 | < 0.05 | 45 | 2.95 | < 0.05 | | | |
| Total | 33735 | 6772 | 19.48 | | 1975 | 5.85 | | | | |
| | D 0.07 | | 1 | . 50/ 1 1 | c · · · c | | | | | |

P<0.05 means statistically significant at 5% level of significance.

Sex Wise Prevalence of IBD in Sonali Chicken

In the study area we found that male is less susceptible than female birds, the prevalence and mortality were high in case of female birds than male. Male has 18.66% morbidity and mortality 4.30%; for female 20.03% morbidity and 6.91% mortality (Table-3). This observation is closely related with the earlier study of Ahmed *et al.*, (2009) and Bhattachaijee *et al.*, (1996).

| Table 5: Sex-wise prevalence and mortanty rate of sonan chicken | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|----------|-------|------------|--------|-------|-----------|----------------|--|--|
| Sex | Number | Affected | Dead | Prevalence | Р- | Dead | Mortality | P-value | | |
| Group | of Birds | Birds | Birds | (%) | value | Birds | rate(%) | | | |
| Male | 13595 | 2537 | 584 | 18.66 | < 0.05 | 584 | 4.30 | < 0.05 | | |
| Female | 20140 | 4035 | 1391 | 20.03 | | 1391 | 6.91 | | | |
| Total | 33735 | 6572 | 1975 | 19.48 | | 1975 | 5.85 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 3: Sex-wise prevalence and mortality rate of sonali chicken

P<0.05 means statistically significant at 5% level of significance.

Prevalence according to season

In this study we found that IBD prevalence is higher in winter season (46.15%) and mortality (8.02%) in autumn season (8.02%) and lowest prevalence (12.89%) and mortality rate (4.69%) in rainy season. Farooq *et al.*, (2003) found similar finding in chicken in Kashmir.

| Season | Farm | Affected | Prevalence | Р- | Dead | Mortality | P- |
|------------------|--------|----------|------------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|
| | Number | Birds | (%) | value | Birds | (%) | value |
| Winter (Dec-Feb) | 08 | 15,570 | 46.15 | | 795 | 5.11 | |
| Summer (Mar-May) | 04 | 5,630 | 16.78 | | 319 | 5.66 | |
| Rainy (Jun-Aug) | 03 | 4,350 | 12.89 | < 0.05 | 204 | 4.69 | |
| Autumn (Sep-Nov) | 05 | 8,185 | 24.26 | | 657 | 8.02 | < 0.05 |
| Total | 21 | 33,735 | | | 1975 | 5.85 | |

Table 4: Season-wise prevalence and mortality rate of sonali chicken

 $P\!\!<\!\!0.05$ means statistically significant at 5% level of significance.

Prevalence According to Vaccination Status Study on vaccination history of the different farm reveals vaccination failure. Among the vaccine, Elanco vaccine was most effective than other vaccine. Prevalence and mortality of IBD according to vaccination was lowest (3.78%) and (0.89%) in using AviPro® IB Ark (Elanco) vaccine. The result is similar with earlier study of Besseboua *et al.*, (2015) and Islam and Samad, (2003), they found the efficacy of vaccine.

| Table-5: Vaccination-wise | prevalence and mortalit | v rate of sonali chicken |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Lubic Ct (accination () isc | prevalence and moreant | J have of somall emericit |

| Vaccine Name | Number of Farm | Number of Birds | Affected Birds No. | Dead Bird No. | Prevalence (%) | Mortality rate (%) |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| GumboMed Plus (Incepta) | 3 | 3075 | 589 | 113 | 19.15 | 3.67 |
| Cevac IBD (ACI) | 2 | 2570 | 846 | 376 | 32.92 | 14.63 |
| AviPro® IB Ark (Elanco) | 5 | 8570 | 324 | 76 | 3.78 | 0.89 |
| BAU 404 (Govt) | 3 | 5214 | 1559 | 478 | 23.9 | 9.16 |
| Izovac Gumboro (Renata) | 8 | 14306 | 3254 | 923 | 22.75 | 6.45 |
| Total | 21 | 33735 | 6572 | 1975 | 19.48 | 5.85 |
| P-value | | | | | < 0.05 | < 0.05 |

P<0.05 means statistically significant at 5% level of significance.

Prevalence Study According to Constructional Fault

The prevalence of IBD in farm construction with kacha floor are more susceptible to IBD than the concrete floor. The study showed 2.5% prevalence in concrete floor and 3.52% mortality. The prevalence is higher 24.60% and mortality 24.6% in case of kacha floor. Talukdar *et al.*, (2017) also found similar result.

| Floor type | Number | Number | Affected | Dead Bird | Prevalence | Mortality |
|------------|---------|----------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| | of Farm | of Birds | Birds No. | No. | (%) | (%) |
| Concrete | 4 | 8597 | 389 | 215 | 2.5 | 3.52 |
| Kacha | 17 | 25138 | 6183 | 1760 | 7.00 | 24.60 |
| Total | 21 | 33735 | 6572 | 1975 | 5.85 | 19.48 |
| P-value | | | | | < 0.05 | < 0.05 |

Table-6: Farm construction-wise prevalence and mortality rate of sonali chicken

P<0.05 means statistically significant at 5% level of significance

Prevalence According to Biosecurity

In this study among 21 farms only 4 farms are fumigated regularly and 17 farms are not fumigated so morbidity and mortality were high in non-fumigated farm. The prevalence of IBD was 7.8% in fumigated and 24.07% in non-fumigated farm and mortality 1.63%, 7.51% respectively fumigated and not fumigated farm similar with the findings of Jindal *et al.*, (2005).

| Fumigation | Number of Farm | Number of Birds | Affected Birds No. | Dead Bird No. | Prevalence (%) | Mortality (%) |
|---------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Fumigated | 4 | 9512 | 742 | 155 | 7.80 | 1.63 |
| Not Fumigated | 17 | 24223 | 5830 | 1820 | 24.07 | 7.51 |
| Total | 21 | 33735 | 6572 | 1975 | 19.48 | 5.85 |
| P-value | | | | | < 0.05 | < 0.05 |

Table-7: Biosecurity-wise prevalence and mortality rate of sonali chicken

P<0.05 means statistically significant at 5% level of significance

Effects of treatment for controlling IBD

During study period we found that treatment group 3 was most responsive than others. Where the affected

Та

flock was treated with levofloxacin (10%), Vitamin C, Molasses, P^{H} and Andopan, which significantly recovers chicken within 4±0.75 days.

| Name of the group | No. of Birds treated | Name of antibiotic & others Medicine | Dose & route of administration | Range of complete recovery days | P-Value |
|----------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|---------|
| Group- A | 300 | a) Levofloxacine(10%) b) Vitamin-C (Ascorbic Acid- 98%) c) Ph (Formic acid,Lactic acid, Propionic acid & HMTBa) d) Mollasses 5% e) Andopan | a) 1ml/1L drinking water 16hrs daily for 5 days. b) 1gm/3L drinking water 6hrs daily for 3days c) 1ml/3L drinking water 6hrs daily for 5 days d) 20gm/L drinking water 8hrs for 3 days e)1gm/25kg body wt. with drinking water 8hrs daily for 5 days | 4±0.75 a | <0.05 |
| Group- B | 300 | a) Levofloxacine(10%) b) Vitamin-C (Ascorbic Acid- 98%) c)Ph(Formic acid,Lactic acid,Propionic acid & HMTBa) d) Mollasses 5% | a) 1ml/1L drinking water 16hrs daily for 5 days. b) 1gm/3L drinking water 6hrs daily for 3days c) 1ml/3L drinking water 6hrs daily for 5 days d)20gm/L drinking water 8hrs for 3 days | 7±0.25 b | <0.05 |
| Group- C | 300 | a) Florfenicol(20%) b) Vitamin-C(Ascorbic Acid- 98%) c) Ph(Formic acid, Lactic acid, Propionic acid & HMTBa) d) Mollasses 5% e)Andopan | a) 1ml/1L Drinking water 16hrs daily for 5 days. b) 1gm/3L drinking water 6hrs daily for 3 days. c) 1ml/3L drinking water 6hrs daily for 5 days. d) 20gm/L drinking water 8hrs for 3 days. e) 1gm/25kg body wt. with drinking water 8hrs daily for 5 days. | 8±0.25 b | <0.05 |
| Group- D | 300 | a)Ciprofloxacine(10%) b)Vitamin-C(Ascorbic Acid- 98%) c)Ph(Formic acid, Lactic acid, Propionic acid & HMTBa) | a) 1ml/1L Drinking water 16hrs daily for 5 days. b) 1gm/3L drinking water 6hrs daily for 3days. c) 1ml/3L drinking water 6hrs daily for 5days | 10±0.5 c | <0.05 |

| able-8• | Effects (| of treatment | for | controlling | IRD | in | sonali chicken | |
|---------|-----------|--------------|-----|-------------|-----|-----|----------------|--|
| abie-o. | Ellects | of treatment | 101 | controlling | IDD | 111 | sonan chicken | |

P<0.05 means statistically significant at 5% level of significance.

CONCLUSION

According to the present study, most prevalence economical important diseases of commercial Sonali chickens at Joypurhat district of Bangladesh is infectious bursal disease (IBD), it was found that the highest prevalence and mortality rate of IBD in sonali chicken was seen in Khetlal upazila (43.61%, 16.78%) and lowest in Khetlal (10.54%) and Panchbibi Upazila (3.13%) in Joypurhat district. It was found that 3 to 4 weeks' age group female chickens were more susceptible to diseases prevalence but mortality rate was high in 5 to 6 weeks age group. Prevalence was highest in winter and lowest in Rainy season but mortality rate was highest in autumn season. AviPro® IB Ark (Elanco) was more protective than other vaccine. Treatment with (a. Levofloxacine (10%) b.Vitamin-C (Ascorbic Acid-98%) c. Ph(Formic

acid,Lactic acid,Propionic acid & HMTBa) d. Mollasses 5% e.Andopan) was more effective in controlling IBD than other treatment groups.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author expresses his deepest sense of gratitude to his respected teacher and supervisor Dr. Md. Shajedur Rahman, Associate Professor, Department of Medicine Surgery and Obstetrics, Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University (HSTU), Dinajpur for his constructive and constant guidance in planning and execution of this research work and staffs of Field Diseases Investigation Laboratory (FDIL), Joypurhat, for their kind co-operation during data collections and NST for their funding.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, M. S., Sarker, A., & Rahman, M. M. (2009). Prevalence of infectious diseases of broiler chickens in Gazipur district. *Bangladesh Journal of Veterinary Medicine*, 7(2), 326-331.
- Akbar, M. A., Amin, M. R., Ali, M. A., Bhuiyan, M. S. A., Kabir, A. K. M. A., & Siddiki, S. R. (2013). Animal Husbandry- A Business Education for Today and Tomorrow 3rd Annual Conference and Seminar 2013. *Bangladesh Society for Animal Production Education and Research (BSAPER)*, Bangladesh.
- Badruzzaman, A. T., Noor, M., Mamun, M. A., Husna, A., Islam, K. M., Alam, K. J., & Rahman, M. M. (2015). Prevalence of diseases in commercial chickens at Sylhet Division of Bangladesh. *International Clinical Pathology Journal*, 1(5), 00023.
- BBS (Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics Bangladesh) (2016). p: 11 http://www.bbs.gov.bd.
- Begum, I. A., Alam, M. J., Buysse, J., Frija, A., & Van Huylenbroeck, G. (2011). A comparative efficiency analysis of poultry farming systems in Bangladesh: A Data Envelopment Analysis approach. *Applied Economics*, 44(44), 3737-3747.
- Besseboua, O., Ayad, A., & Benbarek, H. (2015). Determination of the optimal time of vaccination against infectious bursal disease virus (Gumboro) in Algeria. *Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research*, 82(1), 01-06.
- Bhattachaijee, P. S., Kundu, R. L., Mazumder, J. U., Hossain, E., & Miah, A. H. (1996). A retrospective analysis of chicken diseases diagnosed at the Central Disease Investigation Laboratory, Dhaka, Bangladesh. *Bangladesh Veterinary Journal*, 30, 105-113.
- Biswas, P. K., Uddin, G. M. N., Barua, H., Roy, K., Biswas, D., Ahad, A., & Debnath, N. C. (2006). Causes of loss of Sonali chickens on smallholder households in Bangladesh. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 76(3-4), 185-195.
- Bosha, J. A., & Nongo, N. N. (2012). Investigating vaccine handling and administration in Makurdi metropolis, Benue state, Nigeria. In Proceedings of 37th conference of Nigerian society of animal production (NSAP) held at University of Agriculture Makurdi, Bennue state, Nigeria.
- Butcher. (2003). Pathogenesis of IBD in commercial broiler flocks. IFAS, Florida, 32611.
- Chakma, S. (2015). Epidemiology of infectious bursal disease in broiler birds of three districts in Bangladesh. *Asian Journal of Medical and Biological Research*, 1(1), 59-64.
- Chettle, N., Stuart, J. C., & Wyeth, P. J. (1999). Outbreak of virulent infectious bursal disease in East Anglia. *Veterinary Record*, 125(10), 271-272.
- Das, A., Ghosh, P., Sen, A., Das, A., & Chowdhury, S. (2018). A Retrospective Analysis of

© East African Scholars Publisher, Kenya

Prevalence of Newcastle Disease and Infectious Bursal Disease in Poultry at Kishoreganj. Bangladesh. J Vet Med Health, 2, 108.

- Das, P. M., Rajab, D. M. M., Noor, M., & Islam, M. R. (2005). Retrospective analysis on the proportional incidence of poultry diseases in greater Mymensingh district of Bangladesh. In: *proceeding of 4th International Poultry Show and Seminar*. The World Poultry Science Association, Bangladesh Branch, pp. 35-39.
- Farooq, M., Durrani, F. R., Imran, N., Durrani, Z., & Chand, N. (2003). Prevalence and economic losses due to infectious bursal disease in broilers in Mirpur and Kotli districts of Kashmir. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 2, 267-270.
- Hossain, M. (2012). State veterinary services in Bangladesh: Present status and future perspective. *Bangladesh Veterinary Association (BVA)*.
- Islam, M. R. (2005). A manual for the production of BAU 404 Gumboro vaccine. Submitted to the Department of Livestock Services, Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- Islam, M. R., Das, B. C., Hossain, K., Lucky, N. S., & Mostafa, M. G. (2003). A study on the occurrence of poultry diseases in Sylhet region of Bangladesh. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 2(5), 354-356.
- Islam, M. T., & Samad, M. A. (2003). Outbreaks of infectious bursal disease in vaccinated and unvaccinated commercial cockerel farms in Bangladesh. *Bangladesh Journal of Veterinary Medicine*, 1(1), 21-24.
- Jindal, N., Mahajan, N. K., Mittal, D., Gupta, S. L., & Khokhar, R. S. (2004). Some epidemiological studies on infectious bursal disease in broiler chickens in parts of Haryana, India. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 3(7), 478-482.
- Lasher, H. N., & Shane, S. (1994). Infectious bursal disease. *World's Poultry Science Journal*, 50(2), 133-166.
- Lukert, P. D. (2003). Infectious bursal disease. *Disease of poultry*.
- Okwor, E. C., Eze, D. C., & Okonkwo, K. (2011). Serum Antibody Levels against Infectious Bursal Disease Virus in Nigerian Village Chickens. *Pakistan Veterinary Journal*, 32, 286-287.
- Raha, S. K. (2007). Broiler industry in Bangladesh: some issues. In: *proceeding of 5th International Poultry Show and Seminar*. The World Poultry Science Association, Bangladesh Branch, pp. 1-9.
- Rahman, M. A., & Samad, M. A. (2005). Important viral diseases associated with mortality of layer chickens in commercial poultry farms in Bangladesh. *Bangladesh Journal of Veterinary Medicine*, 3(1), 01-05.
- Rahman, M. S., Islam, M. S., Rahman, M. T., Parvez, N. H., & Rahman, M. M. (2010). Analysis of prevalence of infectious Bursal disease in broiler

flocks in Dinajpur. International Journal of Sustainable Crop Production, 5, 15-18.

- Rajaonarison, J. J., Rakotonindrina, S., Rakotondramary, E. K., & Razafimanjary, S. (1994). Gumboro disease (infectious bursitis) in Madagascar. *Revue d'elevage et de medecine veterinaire des pays tropicaux*, 47(1), 15-17.
- Richard. & Miles. (2004). Department of Dairy and Poultry Science, Cooperative Extension Service, IFAS, University of Florida, Gainesville-32616.
- Saleque, M. A. (2006). Poultry Industry in Bangladesh: current status and its future. Poultry Business Dictionary. *Poultry Khamar Bichitra*, p. 25.
- Sharif, A., & Ahmad, T. (2018). Preventing Vaccine Failure in Poultry Flocks. In

Immunization-Vaccine Adjuvant Delivery System and Strategies. IntechOpen. United Kingdom, 79-91.

- Sharon, W. (2002). Infectious bursal disease. URL: www.msstate.edu/dept/poultry/disviral.htm.
- Talukdar, M. L., Zuhra, F. T., Islam, K. E., & Ahmed, M. S. (2017). Prevalence of infectious diseases in Sonali chickens at Bogra Sadar Upazila, Bogra, Bangladesh. *Journal of Advanced Veterinary and Animal Research*, 4(1), 39-44.
- Wilson, R. T. (2021). An Overview of Traditional Small-Scale Poultry Production in Low- Income, Food-Deficit Countries.
- Wyeth, P. J., Chettle, N. J., & Mohepat, A. R. (2003). Infectious bursal disease in Great Britain. *Veterinary Record*, 130, 30-32.

Cite this Article: Mohammed Habibullah Belaly & Md. Shajedur Rahman (2022). Infectious Bursal Diseases in Sonali Chicken in Joypurhat District of Bangladesh. *EAS J Vet Med Sci*, *4*(4), 56-64.