

Original Research Article

Hemodynamic Changes during Traditional Awake Extubation and Extubation Using LMA Supreme in Controlled Hypertensive Patients

Md. Ashraful Anam^{1*}, Mohammad Jakir Hossen Mollick², Fakhruddin Ahmed³, Md. Aminur Rahman⁴, Mohammad Mizanur Rahman⁵, Mamata Manjari⁶

¹Associate Professor, Dept. of Anesthesiology, Shaheed Tajuddin Ahmad Medical College, Gazipur, Bangladesh

²Assistant Professor, Dept. of Anesthesiology, Shaheed Tajuddin Ahmad Medical College, Gazipur, Bangladesh

³Assistant Professor, Dept. of Anesthesiology, Shaheed Tajuddin Ahmad Medical College, Gazipur, Bangladesh

⁴Associate Professor and Head, Dept. of Anesthesiology & ICU, National Institute of Cancer Research & Hospital (NICRH), Mohakhali, Dhaka, Bangladesh

⁵Associate Professor, Dept. of Anesthesiology, Institute of Child and Mother Health (ICMH), Matuail, Dhaka, Bangladesh

⁶Assistant Professor (Gynae & Obs), Colonel Malek Medical College, Manikganj, Bangladesh

Article History

Received: 19.04.2022

Accepted: 16.05.2022

Published: 24.05.2022

Journal homepage:

<https://www.easpublisher.com>

Quick Response Code



Abstract: Background: Anesthesia is quite remarkable and attenuation of pressor response is one of the most keenly researched subjects in the field of anaesthesiology, the reason being the non-availability of a 'procedure/drug of choice' for the same. Patients with arterial hypertension generally exhibit excessive pressor response to stress such as laryngoscopy, intubation, surgical incision and extubation, which can lead to arrhythmias, myocardial ischemia and cerebrovascular accidents. Exchange extubation with LMA Supreme can be used to overcome or blunt the excessive pressor response following extubation. **Objective:** To compare the hemodynamic changes during traditional awake extubation and extubation using LMA supreme in controlled hypertensive patients. **Methods:** The prospective study was carried out in the department of Anaesthesiology, Shaheed Tajuddin Ahmad Medical College Hospital, Gazipur, Bangladesh from January to December-2021. Fifty (50) patients were randomized by permuted blocks into two groups. After complete pre-anesthetic check-up and investigations. Controlled hypertensive patients with ASA (American Society of Anesthesiologists) grade II, between the age of 17 and 65 years, undergoing elective, non-oral surgery were included in the group. Hemodynamic stress response between traditional awake extubation of the endotracheal tube (ETT) and that following exchange extubation of ETT by using a laryngeal mask airway (LMA Supreme) in terms of Post Extubation Heart Rate (H.R), Systolic Blood Pressure (S.B.P), Diastolic Blood Pressure (D.B.P), Mean Arterial Pressure (MAP), to determine whether this method is easy to perform, the amount of experience needed to perform the exchange well and to calculate the time delay in extubation caused by adopting this method. **Results:** There was highly significant increase in pulse rate in Group A (ETT Group) as compare to LMA Supreme™ group, which was statistically significant till 15min. of extubation. Statistically significant increase in SBP was seen in Group A (ETT Group) which was statistically significant till 10th min. after extubation as compared to group B. Statistically significant increase in SBP in LMA supreme exchange group was not observed. Significant increase in DBP was seen in group A (ETT Group) which was statistically significant till 5 min. after extubation (p<0.0001). In group B, there was no significant rise in DBP after extubation till 15 min. Significant increase in MAP was seen in group A (ETT Group) in compare to LMA Supreme exchange group, which was statistically significant till 5min. after extubation (p<0.001). There were no fluctuations in MAP trend in the group B (LMA Supreme exchange group). It took more time for extubation in Group B as compared to Group A (p=0.002). **Conclusion:** The comparative study showed LMA supreme exchange group has good results in terms of success of insertion, hemodynamic stability, adequate ventilation and less post-operative airway complication. Hence, it was concluded that LMA Supreme exchange extubation is suitable and a safe alternative to cuffed ETT for airway management in elective controlled hypertensive patients undergoing surgeries under general anaesthesia.

Keywords: Exchange extubation, pressor response, LMA Supreme.

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

Anesthesia is quite remarkable and attenuation of pressor response is one of the most keenly researched

subjects in the field of anaesthesiology, the reason being the non-availability of a 'procedure/drug of choice' for the same [1]. Attenuation of pressor response is one of the most keenly researched subjects

*Corresponding Author: Md. Ashraful Anam

Associate Professor, Dept. of Anesthesiology, Shaheed Tajuddin Ahmad Medical College, Gazipur, Bangladesh

in the field of anaesthesiology, the reason being the non-availability of a 'procedure/drug of choice' for the same. Extubation of endotracheal tube (ETT) is associated with complications such as bucking, coughing, bronchospasm, hypertension, tachycardia, myocardial ischemia, arrhythmias, and increased intracranial pressure [2]. Deep extubation of ETT leads to upper airway obstruction and hypoventilation. Replacing the ETT with a laryngeal mask airway (LMA) when the patient is deep and performing an awake extubation of the LMA was shown to decrease the above described respiratory and hemodynamic complications [3, 4]. Airway instrumentation, i.e. endotracheal intubation and/or extubation, is invariably linked with certain cardiovascular changes such as tachycardia or bradycardia, rise in blood pressure and a plethora of cardiac arrhythmias. Airway instrumentation leads to sympathoadrenal discharge culminating in undesirable hemodynamic disturbances. The pressor response can lead to various adverse events such as myocardial ischemia, pulmonary edema, acute heart failure and cerebrovascular accidents in susceptible individuals [5]. Drugs such as lignocaine, beta-blockers such as esmolol, have been tried and newer options like dexmedetomidine are routinely employed for attenuation of the pressor response. Awake extubation of endotracheal tube (ETT) is associated with complications such as bucking, coughing, bronchospasm, hypertension, tachycardia, myocardial ischemia, arrhythmias, and increased intracranial pressure [6]. Deep extubation of ETT leads to upper airway obstruction and hypoventilation. Replacing the ETT with a laryngeal mask airway (LMA) when the patient is deep and performing an awake extubation of the LMA was shown to decrease the above described respiratory and hemodynamic complications. This method of extubation has been described as an advanced technique which requires training and experience.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The prospective study was carried out in the department of Anaesthesiology, Shaheed Tajuddin Ahmad Medical College Hospital, Gazipur, Bangladesh from January to December-2021. After complete pre-anesthetic check-up and investigations. Controlled hypertensive patients with ASA (American Society of Anaesthesiologists) grade II, between the age of 17 and 65 years, undergoing elective, non-oral surgery were included in the group. History of clinically significant cardiovascular, pulmonary, hepatic, renal, neurologic, psychiatric, or metabolic disease and obesity (BMI>30) were excluded from the study. Fifty (50) patients were randomized by permuted blocks into two groups. Hemodynamic stress response between traditional awake extubation of the endotracheal tube (ETT) and that following exchange extubation of ETT by using a laryngeal mask airway (LMA Supreme) in terms of Post Extubation Heart Rate (H.R), Systolic Blood Pressure (S.B.P), Diastolic Blood Pressure (D.B.P), Mean

Arterial Pressure (MAP) , to determine whether this method is easy to perform, the amount of experience needed to perform the exchange well and to calculate the time delay in extubation caused by adopting this method.

All patients were orally pre-medicated with omeprazole 40mg and metoclopramide 10mg on the night prior to the surgery. Alprazolam 0.25 mg was given one night prior to the surgery. On the day of the surgery, the patient was brought to the operation theatre, and an intravenous cannula was inserted. The following monitors were then connected: pulse oximeter, electrocardiogram, non-invasive blood pressure (NIBP). Patients were pre oxygenated for 3 minutes with 100% oxygen using face mask. Patients were then given i.v midazolam 0.01mg/kg to a maximum of 2 mg, glycopyrrolate 0.01mg/kg to a maximum of 0.2mg, tramadol 1 mg/kg and propofol (mixed with 2ml i.v lignocaine) 1-2 mg/kg. Then succinylcholine 2 mg/kg was given i.v (fast). Patient was ventilated with bag and mask for 45 seconds and then intubated with ET Tube of appropriate size. Anaesthesia was maintained with 0.6-1% isoflurane in nitrous oxide-oxygen mixture (1:1) with mechanical ventilation. Vecuronium 0.1mg/kg was given as a loading dose and 0.02 mg/kg was repeated every 20 minutes. Tidal volume was set at 7ml/kg of ideal weight and respiratory rate was adjusted to keep end tidal carbon dioxide (etCO₂) between 32 and 40 mmHg. Intra-operatively, Paracetamol infusion 1gm and i.v Diclofenac 1 mg/kg were given if there were no contraindications. In the endotracheal awake extubation group (Group A), at the end of the surgical procedure, isoflurane and nitrous oxide were discontinued. Neostigmine 0.04-0.08mg/kg i.v were used for reversing the effects of vecuronium. When the patient spontaneously generated tidal volume of >4ml/kg, etCO₂<45 mmHg and was responding to verbal commands, extubation was performed. Supplementary oxygen was then provided with Oxygen Mask at a rate of 6L/min. In the LMA Group (Group B), at the end of the surgical procedure, nitrous oxide was discontinued and 0.5 mg/kg propofol was given intravenously. After 1 minute, the oropharynx is suctioned and a deflated LMA Supreme is inserted after removing the ETT. LMA Supreme is inflated and connected to the breathing circuit. If we were unable to ventilate through the LMA Supreme, it was repositioned. If still unsuccessful, LMA Supreme insertion was abandoned and mask ventilation was resumed. Following successful insertion of the LMA, isoflurane was discontinued, 100% oxygen is administered at the rate of 6L/min, neuromuscular blockade was reversed with neostigmine and glycopyrrolate and the patient was monitored. When the patient was able to spontaneously maintain the tidal volume of >4ml/kg, and end tidal carbon dioxide (etCO₂) <45 mmHg and was responding to verbal commands, removal of LMA was performed. In Group B, the heart rate (HR), systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), mean arterial

pressure (MAP) was recorded. Time between placing and extubating the LMA was also recorded.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical analysis was performed using Microsoft Excel 2010 and statistical software plug-ins. Continuous data was analyzed by ANOVA. Data are being represented as mean ± SD. P <0.05 was considered statistically significant and P < 0.001 was considered statistically highly significant.

RESULTS

A total of 50 patients were selected in the study comparing hemodynamic changes during traditional awake extubation and exchange extubation using LMA supreme in controlled hypertensive patients” comprising of 25 patients in each groups. There was no protocol deviation and all patients successfully completed the study protocol and were cooperative with subsequent assessment. Hence, all patients were included for data analysis. Surgical procedures were performed uneventfully and there were no surgical or anesthetic complications. Patients of the two groups were statistically comparable regarding mean age, weight, height, gender, ASA physical status, and surgical characteristics.

Table-1: Comparison of pulse rate following tube exchange (N=50)

Pulse rate	Group A (N=30)		Group B (N=30)		p-value
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Baseline	82.94	6.7	83.78	4.25	0.57
At end of surgery (EOS)	83.52	6.7	83.82	3.71	0.82
Just after extubation (E0)	92.47	6.72	83.8	3.84	<0.0001
5 min after extubation (E5)	87.6	5.32	80.96	3.06	<0.0001
10 min after extubation (E10)	84.2	5.82	78.72	3.83	<0.0001
15 min after extubation (E15)	81.82	5.87	77.97	3.14	0.002

Note: - p < 0.05 = statistically significant, p < 0.001 = highly significant, p < 0.0001 = Very highly significant.

Pulse rate was increased in group A from the baseline values after extubation. Statistically significant increase in HR was noticed in Group A at 0 min, 5th min. which lasted upto 10th min. from after extubation of device in comparison to Group B. On comparing

trends within group, increase in pulse rate was observed just after extubation (E0) persisted till 10 minutes in group A and no significant increase found in group B (Table-1).

Table-2: Comparison of Systolic blood pressure (N=50)

SBP	Group A (N=30)		Group B (N=30)		p-value
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Baseline	124.48	10.42	127.3	9.45	0.56
At end of surgery (EOS)	126.12	8.07	126.94	8.42	0.40
Just after extubation (E0)	134.34	10.70	125.26	9.18	0.001
5 min after extubation (E5)	128.7	5.97	120.34	5.83	<0.0001
10 min after extubation (E10)	123.86	7.59	125.28	7.83	<0.0001
15 min after extubation (E15)	123.72	6.80	124.9	7.02	0.46

Note: - P < 0.05 = statistically significant, P < 0.001 = highly significant, p < 0.0001 = Very highly significant.

Statistically significant increase in SBP was noticed in Group A at 0 min, lasted upto 5th min. (p < 0.0001) from insertion of device in comparison to Group B. On comparing trends within groups, statistically significantly higher (p < 0.0001) increase in

SBP was observed at 1 minute after extubation and persisted till 5 minutes in group A, however, statistically significant increase in SBP in group B was not seen after extubation of the device (Table-2).

Table-3: Comparison of Diastolic Blood Pressure (N=50)

DBP	Group A (N=30)		Group B (N=30)		'p' value
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Baseline	76.94	7.27	76.67	6.31	0.29
At end of surgery (EOS)	77.52	4.55	77.12	6.14	0.67
Just after extubation (E0)	82.6	4.88	78.0	5.23	0.001
5 min after extubation (E5)	82.28	4.32	75.16	4.58	<0.0001
10 min after extubation (E10)	76.34	4.17	77.1	5.32	0.59
15 min after extubation (E15)	76.28	4.03	76.92	4.12	0.46

Note: P < 0.05 = statistically significant, P < 0.001 = highly significant; p < 0.0001 = Very highly significant.

On comparing trends within groups, statistically significantly higher ($p < 0.0001$) increase in DBP was observed at 0 minute (E0), and 5 min (E5) after extubation and persisted till end of 5 minutes. In group B, however, statistically significant increase in DBP in was not seen after extubation of device. There was not much fluctuations in DBP after the extubation (Table-3).

DISCUSSION

The respiratory complications and hemodynamic responses associated with extubation are often not properly taken care of during the conduct of general anesthesia (GA) [7-9]. As anesthetists, we should extubate our patients ensuring both safety and comfort. Anesthetists when pressed upon for a smooth extubation, tend to go for a deep extubation of the ETT, which has the theoretical risk of losing the airway, before the patient is fully conscious and requires airway manipulations, albeit external. Nair and Bailey, Costa e Silva and Brimacombe and Glaisyeretal *et al.* [10-12] had suggested that the use of the laryngeal mask after tracheal extubation may minimize the stress response while providing a patent airway during emergence from anesthesia. They inserted the laryngeal mask after extubation; however, there is a small theoretical risk of losing a patent airway, if it is not possible to insert the laryngeal mask after the tracheal tube has been removed. The LMA being situated in the hypopharynx eliminates tracheal stimulation at extubation, makes extubation smooth and comfortable for the patient. Asaiet and Dob *et al.* [13, 14] improvised on this method by inserting the LMA behind the ETT and then removing the ETT, thereby avoiding the loss of airway. Exchange extubation has been mentioned in the Difficult Airway Society guidelines for the management of tracheal extubation, where the authors have recommended it as an advanced technique requiring training and experience for extubation for "at risk" extubation [15]. An extubation is said to be "at risk" by the authors if the ability to oxygenate is uncertain, the re-intubation is potentially difficult, and the patient has cardiovascular, respiratory and neurological co-morbidities. Stix *et al.* [16] had shown that he could successfully place a LMA in the first attempt in 95% of cases. We were able to place the LMA supreme successfully on the first attempt in 100% of cases. Hence this method of extubation is easy to perform. Suppiah RK *et al.* [17] conducted a study which showed that hemodynamic response in terms of HR, BP and RPP were significantly lesser in exchange extubation group when compared to awake endotracheal extubation. Similar results were demonstrated by Ma *et al.* [18] and Ping *et al.* [19]. Zhonghua WaiKe Za Zhi *et al.* [20] compared with LMA exchange(LM) group to tracheal tube group (TT), MAP, HR and RPP were significantly higher at T(1), T(2), T(3) than T(0) in group TT ($P < 0.05$). There was no significant difference in the indices mentioned above during extubated intratracheal tube and exchanged for LMA

under deep anesthesia in group LM ($P > 0.05$). The incidence rate of glossoptosis in group TT was significantly higher than those in group LM ($P < 0.01$), while complications, such as cough, bucking, breath holding during the recovery stage in group TT were more than those in group LM ($P < 0.05$). Compared with the baseline value, blood glucose and cortisol concentration level were significantly increased in group TT than in group LM ($P < 0.01$). Thus they suggested exchange of tracheal tube for LMA under deep anesthesia during recovery stage can decrease the stress response during the recovery stage and attenuate the harmful response of respiratory tract. It is suitable for the elderly patients with hypertension. In our study, in Group A there is an increase in the hemodynamic parameters at the time of ETT removal from the values at the EOS. These values are significantly higher than the values documented at the time of exchange extubation in Group B. The heart rate in group A just after end of surgery EOS is 83.52 ± 6.24 and in group B is 83.82 ± 3.71 ($p > 0.05$) which is not significant. At E0 (just after extubation), in group A, the heart rate is 92.47 ± 6.72 and in group B, it is 83.8 ± 3.84 ($p < 0.0001$) which is very highly significant. At E5 (5 min after extubation), the heart rate is 87.6 ± 5.32 in group A and 80.96 ± 3.06 in group B ($p < 0.0001$) which is highly significant. At the end of 15 min after extubation, heart rate in group A is 81.82 ± 5.87 and in group B is 77.92 ± 3.14 ($p < 0.05$), which is significant. On comparing SBP, DBP, MAP between group A and B, the SBP, DBP and MAP at EOS in group A is 126.12 ± 8.07 , 77.52 ± 4.55 , 92.73 ± 5.27 and in group B is 126.94 ± 8.42 , 77.12 ± 6.14 , 93.62 ± 5.57 ($p > 0.05$) respectively, which is not significant. The SBP, DBP, MAP at E0 in group A is 134.34 ± 10.70 , 82.6 ± 4.88 , 99.84 ± 4.92 and in group B is 124.42 ± 9.06 , 78.0 ± 5.23 , 93.78 respectively. The p values are 0.001, < 0.001 , < 0.001 respectively, which is highly significant. Similarly p values at E5 came out to be highly significant ($p < 0.001$). After this the values became not significant at E10, E 15. So exchange extubation with LMA supreme can be considered as a safe option for those patients in whom hemodynamic stress response of extubation is to be blunted like in hypertensive. There have been studies on postoperative sore throat after the exchange extubation. In the study by Jain *et al.* [21] the incidence of a sore throat in both the LMA exchange group and the Ambu laryngeal mask exchange group recorded 1 h after surgery was 16%. We did not study the incidence of post-operative sore throat in our study. The time taken from end of surgery (EOS) to just after extubation (E0) in group A is 5.3 ± 0.75 min. and in group B is 6.3 ± 1.6 min, which is statistically significant ($p = 0.002$). This shows that time taken for extubation in Group B is a bit more than that in group A.

CONCLUSION

The comparative study showed LMA supreme exchange group has good results in terms of success of insertion, hemodynamic stability, adequate ventilation

and less post-operative airway complication. Hence, it was concluded that LMA Supreme exchange extubation is suitable and a safe alternative to cuffed ETT for airway management in elective controlled hypertensive patients undergoing surgeries under general anaesthesia.

REFERENCES

1. Singh, S. P., Quadir, A., & Malhotra, P. (2010). Comparison of esmolol and labetalol, in low doses, for attenuation of sympathomimetic response to laryngoscopy and intubation. *Saudi journal of anaesthesia*, 4(3), 163.
2. Fox, E. J., Sklar, G. S., Hill, C. H., Villanueva, R., & King, B. D. (1978). Complications Related to the pressor response to Endotracheal: Intubation. *Survey of Anesthesiology*, 22(5), 445.
3. Lerman, J., & Kiskis, A. A. (1985). Lidocaine attenuates the intraocular pressure response to rapid intubation in children. *Canadian Anaesthetists' Society Journal*, 32(4), 339-345.
4. Dyson, A., Isaac, P. A., Pennant, J. H., Giesecke, A. H., & Lipton, J. M. (1990). Esmolol attenuates cardiovascular responses to extubation. *Anesthesia and analgesia*, 71(6), 675-678.
5. Sudheesh, K., & Harsoor, S. S. (2011). Dexmedetomidine in anaesthesia practice: A wonder drug?. *Indian Journal of Anaesthesia*, 55(4), 323.
6. Jain, S., Khan, R. M., Ahmed, S. M., & Singh, M. (2013). Comparison of classic laryngeal mask airway with Ambu laryngeal mask for tracheal tube exchange: A prospective randomized controlled study. *Indian Journal of Anaesthesia*, 57(3), 259.
7. Cook, T. M., Scott, S., & Mihai, R. (2010). Litigation related to airway and respiratory complications of anaesthesia: an analysis of claims against the NHS in England 1995–2007. *Anaesthesia*, 65(6), 556-563.
8. Mhyre, J. M., Riesner, M. N., Polley, L. S., & Naughton, N. N. (2007). A series of anaesthesia-related maternal deaths in Michigan, 1985–2003. *The Journal of the American Society of Anesthesiologists*, 106(6), 1096-1104.
9. Auroy, Y., Benhamou, D., Péquignot, F., Bovet, M., Jouglu, E., & Lienhart, A. (2009). Mortality related to anaesthesia in France: analysis of deaths related to airway complications. *Anaesthesia*, 64(4), 366-370.
10. Nair, I., & Bailey, P. M. (1995). Use of the laryngeal mask for airway maintenance following tracheal extubation. *Anaesthesia*, 50(2), 174-175.
11. Silva, L. C. E., & Brimacombe, J. R. (1996). Tracheal tube/laryngeal mask exchange for emergence. *The Journal of the American Society of Anesthesiologists*, 85(1), 218-218.
12. Glaisyer, H. R., Parry, M., Lee, J., & Bailey, P. M. (1996). The laryngeal mask airway as an adjunct to extubation on the intensive care unit. *Anaesthesia*, 51(12), 1187-1188.
13. Asai, T., Koga, K., & Vaughan, R. S. (1998). Respiratory complications associated with tracheal intubation and extubation. *British journal of anaesthesia*, 80(6), 767-775.
14. Dob, D. P., Shannon, C. N., & Bailey, P. M. (1999). Efficacy and safety of the laryngeal mask airways Guedel airway following tracheal extubation. *Canadian Journal of Anesthesia*, 46(2), 179-181.
15. Membership of the Difficult Airway Society Extubation Guidelines Group: M. Popat (Chairman), Mitchell, V., Dravid, R., Patel, A., Swampillai, C., & Higgs, A. (2012). Difficult Airway Society Guidelines for the management of tracheal extubation. *Anaesthesia*, 67(3), 318-340.
16. Stix, M. S., Borromeo, C. J., Sciortino, G. J., & Teague, P. D. (2001). Learning to exchange an endotracheal tube for a laryngeal mask prior to emergence. *Canadian Journal of Anesthesia*, 48(8), 795-799.
17. Suppiah, R. K., Rajan, S., Paul, J., & Kumar, L. (2016). Respiratory and hemodynamic outcomes following exchange extubation with laryngeal mask airway as compared to traditional awake extubation. *Anesthesia, Essays and Researches*, 10(2), 212.
18. Ma, H. N., Li, H. L., & Che, W. (2010). Effect of exchange of tracheal tube for laryngeal mask airway (LMA) on intratracheal extubation stress response under deep anaesthesia level after surgery in elderly patients with hypertension. *Zhonghua wai ke za zhi [Chinese Journal of Surgery]*, 48(23), 1811-1814.
19. Ping, H., Chunag, W., & Yanxian, T. (2012). The influence of exchange of tracheal tube for supreme TM LMA in attenuating the hemodynamic responses to emergence from general anaesthesia after upper abdominal surgery in elderly patients. *Chongqing Medicine*, 32, 015.
20. Ma, H. N., Li, H. L., & Che, W. (2010). Effect of exchange of tracheal tube for laryngeal mask airway (LMA) on intratracheal extubation stress response under deep anaesthesia level after surgery in elderly patients with hypertension. *Zhonghua wai ke za zhi [Chinese Journal of Surgery]*, 48(23), 1811-1814.
21. Jain, S., Khan, R. M., Ahmed, S. M., & Singh, M. (2013). Comparison of classic laryngeal mask airway with Ambu laryngeal mask for tracheal tube exchange: A prospective randomized controlled study. *Indian Journal of Anaesthesia*, 57(3), 259.

Cite This Article: Md. Ashraful Anam, Mohammad Jakir Hossen Mollick, Fakhruddin Ahmed, Md. Aminur Rahman, Mohammad Mizanur Rahman, Mamata Manjari (2022). Hemodynamic Changes during Traditional Awake Extubation and Extubation Using LMA Supreme in Controlled Hypertensive Patients. *East African Scholars J Med Sci*, 5(5), 143-147.